

Capital Improvement Programming

Introduction

Capital Improvement Programming is a guide for the efficient and effective provision of public facilities. Programming capital facilities over time can promote better use of the County's limited financial resources and assist in the coordination of public and private development. In addition, the programming process is valuable as a means of coordinating and taking advantage of joint planning and development of facilities where possible. By looking beyond year-to-year budgeting and projecting what, where, when, and how capital investments should be made, capital programming enables public organizations to maintain an effective level of service for both the present and future population.

The Capital Improvement Program ("CIP")

The result of this continuing programming process is the Capital Improvement Program - the CIP - which is the County's five-year roadmap for creating, maintaining, and funding present and future infrastructure requirements. The CIP addresses the County's needs relating to the acquisition, expansion, and rehabilitation of facilities and infrastructure. The CIP serves as a planning instrument to identify needed capital projects and coordinate the financing and timing of improvements in a way that maximizes the return to the public. It provides a planned and programmed approach to utilizing the County's financial resources in the most responsive and efficient manner to meet its service and facility needs. It serves as a "blueprint" for the future of the community and is a dynamic tool, not a static document.

The underlying strategy of the CIP is to plan for land acquisition, construction, and maintenance of public facilities necessary for the safe and efficient provision of public services in accordance with broad policies and objectives adopted in the County's Comprehensive Plan. A critical element of a balanced CIP is the provision of funds to both preserve or enhance existing facilities and provide new assets to respond to changing service needs and community growth. While the program serves as a long-range plan, it is reviewed and revised annually based on current circumstances and opportunities. Priorities may be changed due to funding opportunities or circumstances that cause a more rapid deterioration of an asset. Projects may be revised for significant costing variances.

The CIP is primarily a planning document. As such, it is subject to change each year as the needs of the community become more defined and projects move closer to final implementation. The adoption of the Capital Improvement Program is neither a commitment to a particular project nor a limitation to a particular cost. As a basic tool for scheduling anticipated capital projects and capital financing, the CIP is a key element in planning and controlling future debt service requirements. For this reason, the CIP includes some projects where needs have been defined, but specific solutions or funding amounts have not been identified.

When adopted, the CIP provides the framework for the County Executive, the Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors for managing bond sales, and conducting project and investment planning. Fairfax County's CIP includes not only a 5-year plan, but an outlook that includes a glance at the potential long-term requirements beyond the current 5-year period.

CIP Linkages

The comprehensive capital project planning process has three essential components:

- The Comprehensive Plan (Long-term Element, 20-25 years)
- The Capital Improvement Program (Mid-term Element, 5-10 years)
- The Capital Budget (Short-term Element, 1 year)

The Comprehensive Plan is a component of the planning process, or a generalized model of the future, that expresses policy directions for a 20-25 year period. The Comprehensive Plan and the CIP are mutually supportive - the Plan identifies those areas suitable for development, as well as the public investment they will require, and the CIP translates those requirements into capital projects designed to support the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan. This ensures that necessary public facilities are planned in a time frame concurrent with private development. By providing a realistic schedule for the provision of facilities, orderly development in the best interests of the citizens of Fairfax County can be achieved.

Many projects recommended for implementation in the Plan are not included in the five-year CIP period but may be incorporated into the CIP as existing needs are met and additional growth occurs. The extent to which growth either does or does not occur in each area will influence both the timing and scope of capital projects. While it is a desired goal to minimize public facility deficiencies, it is equally desirable that only those projects with an identified need be constructed.

The annual Capital Budget serves to appropriate funds for specific facilities, equipment, and improvements. For projects supported by the General Fund, the first year included in the CIP reflects the approved annual capital budget funding level. Funding for subsequent years in the program are included for planning purposes only and do not receive ultimate expenditure authority until they are analyzed and incorporated into the annual Capital Budget and approved by the Board of Supervisors. In general, General Obligation Bond funded projects and projects supported by other financing are reflected in the 5-year program with the cashflow required for spending reflected in each year. The CIP is a "rolling" process and subsequent year items in the CIP are evaluated annually and advanced each fiscal year.

Legal Basis for the CIP

The CIP is prepared pursuant to Article 5 of Section 15.2-2239 of the Code of Virginia, as amended, which reads:

"A local planning commission may, and at the direction of the governing body shall, prepare and revise annually a capital improvement program based on the comprehensive plan of the locality for a period not to exceed the ensuing five years. The commission shall submit the program annually to the governing body, or to the chief administrative officer or other official charged with preparation of the budget for the locality, at such time as it or he shall direct. The capital improvement program shall include the commission's recommendations and estimates of cost of the facilities and the means of financing them, to be undertaken in the ensuing fiscal year and in a period not to exceed the next four years, as the basis of the capital budget for the locality. In the preparation of its capital budget recommendations, the commission shall consult with the chief administrative officer or other executive head of the government of the locality, the heads of departments and interested citizens and organizations and shall hold such public hearings as it deems necessary."

CIP Process

The capital program and budget are the result of an ongoing infrastructure planning process. Infrastructure planning decisions must be made about both existing and new facilities and equipment. For existing facilities, the planning process addresses appropriate capital renewal strategies and repair-versus-replacement of facilities. New service demands are also considered as they often affect capital facility requirements. Planning for the five-year Capital Improvement Program period, and the subsequent five years, includes linking the Public Facilities Plan portion of the Comprehensive Plan to the capital requirements, conducting needs assessments, and allowing for flexibility to take advantage of opportunities for capital investment.

Recommendations for the appropriate funding and phasing of projects are coordinated with the respective agencies and the County Executive's Office, and an Advertised Program is developed. The Advertised Capital Improvement Program is presented to the Fairfax County Planning Commission in March, at which time a workshop with agencies and public hearings are held. After completing its review of the Advertised Program, the Planning Commission forwards its recommendations to the Board of Supervisors for consideration. The Board of Supervisors holds public hearings on the Advertised CIP, concurrent with the County's Annual Budget hearings, then adjusts and adopts the Program in April/May.

CIP Calendar



CIP Review Team

A CIP Review team is responsible for reviewing capital project requests and providing recommendations to the County Executive annually. This team is comprised of technical staff from the Office of the County Executive, the Department of Management and Budget, the Department of Planning and Development, and the Department of Public Works and Environmental Services. This team also conducts an in-depth analysis of the impact of the Capital Program on cash flow and bonding requirements, as well as the County's ability to finance, process, design, and ultimately maintain projects. The team meets regularly throughout the year.

The overall goal of the CIP Review Team is to develop CIP recommendations that:

- Preserve the past, by investing in the continued upgrade of County assets and infrastructure;
- Protect the present with improvements to County facilities; and
- Plan for the future.

Principles of Sound Capital Improvement Planning

Projects most often are forwarded to the team by a sponsoring department, which is responsible for their implementation. In proposing a five-year capital plan, the CIP Team considers the feasibility of all proposed capital projects by evaluating their necessity, priority, location, cost and method of financing, availability of federal and state aid, and the necessary investment in the County's infrastructure. The CIP is developed annually using the following 10 Principles of Capital Improvement Planning.

1. The Board of Supervisors' goals and the adopted Comprehensive Plan, specifically the Land Use Plan and the Policy Plan, are the basis for capital planning in Fairfax County. The Capital Improvement Program (CIP) shall execute the goals and objectives of the adopted Comprehensive Plan for Fairfax County.
2. Pursuant to Section 15.2-2239 of the Code of Virginia, the Planning Commission shall review and recommend annually the County's Capital Improvement Program based on the adopted Comprehensive Plan for the consideration of the governing body. Public participation in the CIP process is essential and shall continue to be encouraged.
3. Criteria consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and with the principles stated herein, shall be established to guide the selection and prioritization of CIP projects.
4. The development of the CIP shall be guided by the principles of life cycle planning to ensure that long-term maintenance, renewal, and replacement requirements are adequately addressed to protect the County's investment and maximize the useful life of facilities. The County shall allocate an appropriate amount of its general operating, special revenue, enterprise, and other funds to finance ongoing infrastructure maintenance, renewal, and replacement of facilities. Facilities are defined to include all fixed installations constructed and/or maintained with public funds, including buildings and structures, utilities, and related improvements.
5. The CIP shall include the fiscal impact of each project and identify unfunded capital requirements to adequately anticipate resource requirements and capacity to provide services beyond the planning period.

Capital Improvement Programming

6. The CIP shall support the County's efforts to promote economic vitality and high quality of life. The CIP should recognize the revenue generating and/or cost avoiding value of making public infrastructure improvements to spur private reinvestment and revitalization in support of County land use policy.
7. The CIP shall support the County's efforts to encourage the development of affordable and effective multi-use public facilities as feasible.
8. The CIP shall be developed to provide facilities that are cost effective, consistent with appropriate best practice standards, community standards, and expectations of useful life.
9. The County will endeavor to execute the projects as approved and scheduled in the CIP. Value Engineering principles will continue to be applied to appropriate capital projects. Changes in project scope, cost and scheduling will be subject to close scrutiny.
10. The CIP shall be guided by the County's adopted *Ten Principles of Sound Financial Management*.

Capital Project Evaluation Questions

Project Urgency

- What are the most urgent projects and why?
- Is the project needed to respond to state or federal mandates?
- Will the project improve unsatisfactory environmental, health, and safety conditions?
- What will happen if the project is not built?
- Does the project accommodate increases in demand for service?

Project Readiness

- Are project-related research and planning completed?
- Are all approvals, permits, or similar requirements ready?
- Have affected citizens received notice and briefings?
- Are the appropriate departments ready to move on the project?
- Is the project compatible with the implementation of the other proposed projects?

Project Phasing

- Is the project suitable for separating into different phases?
- Is the project timing affected because funds are not readily available from outside sources?
- Does the project have a net impact on the operating budget?
- Does the project preserve previous capital investments or restore a capital facility to adequate operating condition?

Planning Questions

- Is the project consistent with the Comprehensive Plan?
- Can projects of similar use or purpose be collocated at one location?
- Does the project increase the efficiency of the service delivery?
- Is there an opportunity to include Early Childhood Education facilities or Affordable Housing as part of the project?
- Will any groups be adversely affected by the project?
- What geographic areas does the project serve?
- Does the project promote equity?

As capital projects are identified, the above evaluation questions are used as an assessment tool in concert with the Criteria for Recommending Future Capital Projects regarding the immediate, near term, long term, or future timing of project implementation.

Criteria for Recommending Capital Projects

The following criteria are intended to guide decision making and may be adjusted as necessary. All capital projects must support the goals established by the Board of Supervisors and the adopted Comprehensive Plan and conform to specified standards mentioned in the Plan. Other County or best practice standards may be cited as long as they are not in conflict with the Comprehensive Plan or Board directives. Projects are categorized based on priority and recommended for appropriate funding sources (i.e., general funds, bonds, special revenue funds) according to their criticality or other standards as recommended by the staff, Board of Supervisors, School Board, Planning Commission, or other advisory body. Actual project commencement and completion are subject to identification of resources and annual appropriation by the Board of Supervisors.

Near Term

Projects are anticipated to be moved to the 5-year plan within 1–3 years. Many of these projects are scheduled for funding as part of the County Bond Referendum Plan. Examples of such projects may exhibit the following criteria:

- Eliminate a threat to personal and public safety.
- Alleviate threats to property or the environment.
- Respond to a court order or comply with approved Federal or State legislation.
- Have significant Federal/State commitment or significant private sector investment.
- Preserve existing resources or realize significant return on investment.
- Preserve previous capital investment or restore capital facilities to adequate operating condition.
- Respond to Federal or State mandates in compliance with extended implementation schedules.
- Generate significant revenue, are self-supporting, or generate cost avoidance (return on investment and/or improved efficiency).
- Alleviate existing overcrowded conditions that directly contribute to the deterioration of quality public services.
- Generate private reinvestment and revitalization.
- Have significant public expectations as demonstrated by development proffers or other Board action.
- Support the County's efforts to encourage development of affordable and effective multi-use public facilities.
- Promote equity.

Long Term

Projects may be moved to the 5 Year plan within 4–5 years. Some of these projects are scheduled in the County Bond Referendum Plan and some are included in the Future Projects Lists and Details. Examples of such projects may exhibit the following criteria:

- Accommodate projected increases in demand for public services and facilities.
- **Maintain support for public services identified by citizens or appointed Boards and Commissions as a priority furthering the goals and objectives established by the Comprehensive Plan.**
- Meet new program goals or respond to new technology.
- Fulfill long term plans to preserve capital investments.

Colocation Initiatives

County staff have been considering colocation opportunities for many years in support of a Resolution on Joint and Compatible Facility Uses approved by the Board of Supervisors on September 24, 2007. This resolution was designed to affirm cooperation between the Board of Supervisors and the School Board in planning and delivering facility space for both County and School services. The resolution states that “in order for administrative, maintenance, and educational facilities to provide services in the most cost effective, efficient, and customer friendly manner possible, colocation of services within both County and School buildings offers the potential to reduce administrative, construction, and maintenance costs.” Colocation is often defined as the location of two or more organizations sharing physical space on a regular basis. Colocations offer many benefits, including resource conservation and operational cost-efficiencies. The colocation of public facilities can enhance the delivery of services, address resource constraints, and encourage agency coordination and interaction. Collocating public facilities can benefit the public by more efficiently and cost effectively providing services. County staff is actively reviewing opportunities for colocation of multiple County services as well as colocation of County and FCPS services.

Colocation of facilities can also address increasing scarcity of available land, aged and obsolete facilities, demographic changes impacting service needs, a reduction in the duplication of services, a desire to enhance user one-stop access, and capital and operational cost savings. Capital costs may be reduced primarily through the efficient use of space and construction economies, while operating costs may be reduced primarily through shared support spaces, building systems, and parking.

Some recent colocation projects that are underway or recently completed throughout the County include: the Lorton Library and Community Center project; the Kingstowne Complex which includes the Franconia Police Station, Museum, Kingstowne Library Child Care, and Senior Center Project; the Stormwater/Wastewater facility project; and the Original Mount Vernon High School redevelopment project. Some projects planned for the future include the Reston Town Center North redevelopment and the Judicial Center Complex redevelopment.