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Analysis of Concept 1-Symphony Cir SW

| description | This street is approximately 480 feet in length and is an "L" shaped mainstem street with a cul de sac "bulb" at its end. There are 12 homes with frontage on this street. The alignment of the street limits the sight distance for vehicles entering the cul de sac. Concept 1 includes sidewalk along the north side of the "mainstem" of Symphony Circle SW. This concept extends across the frontage of two properties- \#111 Melody Lane and \#914 Symphony Cir (a new house currently under construction). The project length is approximately 250 feet | This street is approximately 480 feet in length and is an "L" shaped mainstem street with a cul de sac "bulb" atits end. There are 12 homes with frontage on this street. The alignment of the street limits the sight distance for vehicles entering the cul de sac. Concept 2 includes sidewalk along the south side of the "mainstem" of Symphony Cir Sw. <br> This concept will extend from the Melody Lane intersection to just west of the cluster of trees and utility pole located at the common property line between \#911 and \#909. The length of this sidewalk is approximately 380 feet and is longer than option 1 because it is on the outside of the curve of the mainstem.Because of these issues with Concept 2 , the sidewalk would be built within the limits of the existing street. The street would be narrowed by approximately 6.5 feet requiring new curb and gutter to be constructed. The cross section would result in a street width of approximately 29.5 feet (face of curb to face of curb) and a 1.5 foot wide utility strip and 5 foot wide concrete sidewalk. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SIDEWALK SUPPORT- RESPONSE TO QUESTIONNAIRE | Based upon the Questionnaire from DPW to homeowners that was sent in Fall of 2020 both sides had one supporter of sidewalk. The north side response has 1 in favor of sidewalk and 1 not in favor of sidewalk. The owner who supports having sidewalk is along the limits of this concept (the other property along the limits of this concept is under construction). | Based upon the Questionnaire from DPW to homeowners that was sent in Fall of 2020 both sides had one supporter of sidewalk. The south side response has 1 in favor of sidewalk and 3 not in favor of sidewalk. |
| TREE IMPACTS | Construction of this concept does not require removal of any trees. The western limits of this concept ends east of the existing Norway Spruce that the developer has preserved. The sidewalk construction should not affect the roots of this tree in that area as the developer cut a trench at this same location to replace the water line. At the east end of the project there will be minor disturbance to the roots of a 7 " diameter Flowering Dogwood, and moderate disturbance to the roots of the 24 " Bradford Pear. A shorter curb return radii, ending the sidewalk farther to the west (farther from the trees), or constructing a curb extension at the curb return could be considered during design. | This concept has very minimal tree impact. Concept 2 will be constructed within the limits of the existing paved street except for the ADA ramp that is built where the project meets existing curb and gutter at the intersection of Melody Lane. |
| impacts on vegetation (OTHER THAN TREES) | There are other plants and vegetation that may be affected by the construction. This analysis focuses more on the potential construction impacts to larger trees. If this concept is pursued replacement vegetation and possibly transplantation of plants/trees will be considered. | There are other plants and vegetation that may be affected by the construction. This analysis focuses more on the potential construction impacts to larger trees. If this concept is pursued replacement vegetation and possibly transplantation of plants/trees will be considered. |
| GRADING IMPACTS | There will be minimal grading along the frontage of the two properties bordering this concept. The property that is under development (\#914 Symphony Circle $S W$ ) has been regraded as part of the house construction and appears that the right-of-way is fairly level relative to the existing curb. The corner property (\#111 Melody Lane SW) has a privacy fence along approximately one-half of the Symphony Circle sideage. The area between that fence and the existing curb appears to be graded fairly level so that the proposed sidewalk can be built with minimal grading. There will be some grading nearest to the intersection where the project ends with a curb ramp. The construction limits for all properties will be determined during later stages of design if this concept is pursued. | There appears to be minimal grading for this concept overall. At the corner property \#621 there will be slightly more grading as the property is a few feet higher than the road. The construction limits will be determined during later stages of design if this concept is pursued. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CONSTRUCTABILITY } \\ & \text { ISSUES } \end{aligned}$ | There does not appear to be constructability issues with this concept. Existing water meters will be relocated as necessary. | The area near the common property line between \#919 and \#917 does not allow enough clearance for a potential sidewalk. Also, the existing utility yole between the common property line of $\# 919$ and $\# 917$ is positioned approximately 3 ' from the curb and $3^{\prime}$ 'rom the right-of-way so that a sidewalk cannot be constructed in front or behind the pole. Furthermore, the many tres near to the curb along the frontages and the cluster of trees between $\# 911$ and $\# 009$ are problematic for construction of sidewalk behind the existing curb. |
| cost | The cost of this concept should be comparable to other Robinson Sidewalk Projects. Concept 2 has the additional cost of the curb and gutter reconstruction and the longer project length. | The cost of this concept is greater than other Robinson Sidewalk Projects as it includes reconstruction of the existing curb and gutter. Concept 2 is more expensive than Concept 1 because it has the additional cost of the curb and gutter reconstruction and the longer project length. |
| connectivity | Both concepts are comparable in terms of connectivity. There is another sidewalk project being considered along Melody Lane SW. | Both concepts are comparable in terms of connectivity. There is another sidewalk project being considered along Melody Lane SW. |
| recommendation | Because Concept 1 effects only two properties; with one of the properties under development, and the second having responded in favor of sidewalks on the questionnaire, because Concept 1 does not require narrowing the street, and because of the lower cost, DPW recommends Concept 1. |  |



Note: Tree sizes are by visual estimate as most trees are located on private property and were not measured; Tree locations are approximate and not surveyed.
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