380 MAPLE AVENUE WEST

Traffic Impact Study

PREPARED FOR

J.D.A. CUSTOM HOMES

SEPTEMBER 20, 2018 UPDATED: NOVEMBER 29, 2018 MARCH 18, 2019

Prepared By:



380 MAPLE AVENUE WEST

Traffic Impact Study

PREPARED FOR

J.D.A. CUSTOM HOMES

SEPTEMBER 20, 2018 UPDATED: NOVEMBER 29, 2018 MARCH 18, 2019

Prepared under the Supervision of:

Andrew T. Smith, P.E.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. Email: Andy.Smith@Kimley-Horn.com


11400 Commerce Park Drive, Suite 400

Reston, VA 20191 Phone: (703) 674-1300 Fax: (703) 674-1361

1 dx. (100) 011 1001

© Kimley-Horn & Associates, Inc. 2019

This document, together with the concepts and designs presented herein, as an instrument of service, is intended only for the specific purpose and client for which it was prepared. Reuse of and improper reliance on this document without written authorization and adaptation by Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. shall be without liability to Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.



Contents

Executive Summary	1
Purpose and Description of Proposed Development	1
Analysis Procedure	1
Conclusions	1
Introduction	8
Site Location and Study Area	8
Existing Area Roadways	8
Transit Facilities	12
Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities	12
Existing Conditions	15
Existing Traffic Volumes	15
2018 Existing Conditions Capacity Analysis	19
2018 Existing Conditions Queuing Analysis	22
2020 Future Conditions without Development	25
Background Traffic Volumes	25
2020 Background Conditions Capacity Analysis	37
2020 Background Conditions Queuing Analysis	41
2020 Future Conditions with Development	43
Description of Proposed Development	43
Site Trip Generation	43
Site Trip Distribution and Assignment	44
Total Future Traffic Volumes	55
2020 Total Future conditions Capacity Analysis	59
2020 Total Future Conditions Queuing Analysis	63
Transportation Demand Mangement	65
Parking Demand	66
Conclusions	67

Figures

Figure 1 – Site Vicinity Map	10
Figure 2 – Existing Lane Designations and Traffic Control	11
Figure 3 – Area Transit Routes	13
Figure 4 – Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities	14
Figure 5 – 2018 Existing AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	16
Figure 6 – 2018 Existing PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	17
Figure 7 – 2018 Existing Saturday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	18
Figure 8 – 2020 Regional Growth AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	27
Figure 9 – 2020 Regional Growth PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	28
Figure 10 – 2020 Regional Growth Saturday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	29
Figure 11 – Pipeline Development Locations	30
Figure 12 – Pipeline Development AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	31
Figure 13 – Pipeline Development PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	32
Figure 14 – Pipeline Development Saturday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	33
Figure 15 – 2020 Background AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	34
Figure 16 – 2020 Background PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	35
Figure 17 – 2020 Background Saturday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	36
Figure 18 – Directional Distribution of Site Generated Trips	45
Figure 19 – Removal of AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Generated by Existing Office	46
Figure 20 – Removal of PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Generated by Existing Office	47
Figure 21 – Removal of Saturday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Generated by Existing Office	48
Figure 22 – Site Generated AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	49
Figure 23 – Site Generated PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	50
Figure 24 – Site Generated Saturday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	51
Figure 25 – Net Site Generated AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	52
Figure 26 – Net Site Generated PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	53

Figure 27 – Net Site Generated Saturday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes54
Figure 28 – 2020 Total Future AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes56
Figure 29 – 2020 Total Future PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes57
Figure 30 – 2020 Total Future Saturday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes58
Tables
Table 1: Level of Service Range of Delay15
Table 2: Summary of 2018 Existing Intersection Capacity Analysis Results19
Table 3: Summary of 2018 Existing Intersection 95 TH Percentile Queues (Feet)23
Table 4: Annual Average Daily Traffic
Table 5: Annual Average Daily Traffic25
Table 6: Summary of 2020 Background Intersection Capacity Analysis Results38
Table 7: Summary of 2018 Existing Intersection 95 TH Percentile Queues (Feet)41
Table 8: Existing and Proposed Trip Generation44
Table 9: Directional Distribution of Site Generated Traffic
Table 10: Summary of 2020 Total Future Capacity Analysis Results60
Table 11: Summary of 2020 Total Future 95 TH Percentile Queues (Feet)64

Appendices

Appendix A: Site Plan

Appendix B: Scoping Agreement

Appendix C: Traffic Counts

Appendix D: Transit Data

Appendix E: Synchro Reports (LOS)

Appendix F: Synchro Reports (Queueing)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PURPOSE AND DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

This report presents the results of a traffic impact study to support a rezoning application for a proposed mixed-use development at 380 Maple Avenue West in the Town of Vienna, Virginia. The proposed development is located on a 36,842-square foot site currently occupied by a 23,620-square foot office building.

The applicant proposes to rezone the site to the Maple Avenue Commercial (MAC) district and to redevelop with up to 8,500 square feet of retail and up to 42 multi-family residential units. Access to the site is planned to be provided by three access points, all along Wade Hampton Drive Southwest. Out of the three access points, the closest to Maple Avenue West (VA 123) will serve as the service vehicle loading/unloading access, while the other two access points will serve the retail and residential components on the site.

The proposed site will include 125 parking spaces divided among above- and below-grade garage parking to accommodate employees, visitors, patrons, and residents.

ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

Per the scoping document between the applicant and the Town of Vienna, a traffic impact study was conducted to describe the area transportation system, existing traffic volumes and analysis, the calculation of background traffic volumes and analysis, traffic generated by the proposed development, calculation of total future volumes and analysis, and vehicle queuing patterns.

Intersection capacity and queueing analyses were performed for the weekday AM and PM peak hours and for the Saturday midday peak hour at six study intersections. Per the scoping document, intersection capacity analyses are based on the 2010 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM).

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the intersection capacity analyses that were conducted, it is concluded that the proposed development will result in minimal traffic impacts to the area transportation network and that parking will be provided in accordance with the Town's Zoning Ordinance.

Under existing conditions, all signalized study intersections operate at or better than overall intersection LOS D during the AM, PM, and Saturday midday peak hours.

Under background conditions, both the intersection of Nutley Street Southwest and Courthouse Road Southwest and the intersection of Maple Avenue West and Nutley Street Southwest will operate at LOS E during the AM peak hour, which is below the intersection operation standard of LOS D identified in the scoping document.

These results suggest that the background traffic associated with regional growth and pipeline developments impact signalized intersection operations.

The delays at the signalized intersections also increase under the total future conditions, i.e. with the proposed development in place. It is noted, however, that all signalized intersections operate at the same LOS when compared to background conditions. This confirms that the traffic impacts on congestion and

delay associated with the proposed development will be minimal, specifically when compared to the traffic impacts of the regional growth and the traffic generated by pipeline developments.

Under total future conditions, the intersection of Nutley Street Southwest and Courthouse Road Southwest and the intersection of Maple Avenue West and Nutley Street Southwest will continue to operate at LOS E during the AM peak hour; this is below the intersection operation standard identified in the scoping document. It is noted, however, that the traffic generated by the proposed development only adds 0.2 seconds of overall intersection delay to the Nutley Street Southwest and Courthouse Road Southwest intersection during the AM peak hour. Similarly, the traffic generated by the proposed developments only adds 2.4 seconds of overall intersection delay to the Maple Avenue West and Nutley Street Southwest intersection during the AM peak hour.

Under total future conditions, significant delays are anticipated at the northbound approach of Wade Hampton Drive Southwest at Maple Avenue West. Traffic exiting the proposed site is anticipated to experience delays accessing Maple Avenue (particularly for those vehicles that are turning left). Due the heavy east-west traffic flows along Maple Avenue West during the peak hours, additional delays are experienced for vehicles turning from the minor streets along Maple Avenue West. It is noted that these delays are not uncommon or unexpected for unsignalized approaches to congested corridors. It is anticipated that motorists will find a balance between waiting out the delays at the northbound approach of Wade Hampton Drive Southwest and the extra travel time required to identify and use an alternate route.

To mitigate the impacts at the intersection of Wade Hampton Southwest at Maple Avenue West, the project will change the northbound approach from a single, left-through-right lane to one left-through lane and one right-turn only lane. This improvement provides a significant benefit for the approach by allowing right-turning vehicles their own lane so that they are not blocked by left-turning or through vehicles which experience heavier delay. This also allows vehicles that would normally turn left onto Maple Avenue West the option to easily turn right if they determine that the delay for the left-turn movement is long than they would prefer to wait.

The maximum northbound queueing at this location is approximately 3.5 vehicles waiting to turn onto Maple Avenue West. This is not anticipated to negatively impact access and egress to the proposed site or to impact the local neighborhood intersections and streets.

Realistically, there are few mitigation options to reduce the delay at the minor street approach; the traffic volumes do not warrant a signal (which is also precluded by intersection spacing along Maple Avenue West) and the east-west travel pattern along Maple Avenue is dependent on efficient intersection to intersection progression, which limits the number of gaps available for left turn movements from the minor streets. The separation of the northbound approach into a left-through lane and right-turn lane at this location provides a considerable benefit.

Should the Town determine that the real or forecasted delays at this intersection are too much to bear, potential mitigation options may include restricting certain turning movements during the peak hours or installing "do not block the intersection" signage and/or pavement markings to facilitate the creation of gaps in traffic during congested conditions. The developer has also expressed a desire to work with the Town and the community to develop strategies to minimize the impact of traffic on residential streets. One such strategy is the proposed prohibition of left turns exiting the site, which will reduce the possibility of site traffic driving through neighborhood streets.

			ummary of 20				Results			
			Delay, Second	ls per Vehi			120)	T	- L (20	120)
Intersection			disting (2018)			kground (20			tal Future (20	
Approach	Mvmnt	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT
		Nutley Stree					signalized)			
Northbound (Nutley Street Southwest)	L	26.1 (C)	17.7 (B)	14.3 (B)	23.0 (C)	16.7 (B)	14.2 (B)	23.2 (C)	16.7 (B)	14.3 (B)
Street Southwest)	Т	40.9 (D)	22.5 (C)	20.2 (C)	36.9 (D)	24.6 (C)	1.5 (A)	37.4 (D)	24.9 (C)	1.6 (A)
	R	41.9 (D)	23.1 (C)	21.0 (C)	37.2 (D)	24.7 (C)	1.5 (A)	37.7 (D)	25.0 (C)	1.6 (A)
	Overall	40.3 (D)	21.8 (C)	20.0 (C)	36.1 (D)	23.2 (C)	2.6 (A)	36.5 (D)	23.4 (C)	2.7 (A)
Southbound (Nutley Street Southwest)	L	30.7 (C)	20.5 (C)	16.1 (B)	27.0 (C)	21.7 (C)	15.3 (B)	27.3 (C)	21.8 (C)	15.4 (B)
Street Southwest)	Т	33.8 (C)	25.3 (C)	18.4 (B)	23.3 (C)	2.4 (A)	0.9 (A)	23.6 (C)	2.4 (A)	0.9 (A)
	R	33.9 (C)	25.6 (C)	18.5 (B)	23.2 (C)	2.4 (A)	0.9 (A)	23.5 (C)	2.4 (A)	0.9 (A)
	Overall	33.7 (C)	25.4 (C)	18.3 (B)	23.4 (C)	2.8 (A)	1.7 (A)	23.7 (C)	2.8 (A)	1.7 (A)
Eastbound (Courthouse Road	L	43.1 (D)	53.5 (D)	47.4 (D)	53.8 (D)	76.5 (E)	63.1 (E)	53.8 (D)	76.5 (E)	63.1 (E)
Southwest)	Т	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-	R	65.2 (E)	55.8 (E)	49.7 (D)	155.4 (F)	92.4 (F)	69.5 (E)	155.4 (F)	92.4 (F)	69.5 (E)
	Overall	56.1 (E)	54.6 (D)	48.6 (D)	113.6 (F)	84.3 (F)	66.3 (E)	113.6 (F)	84.3 (F)	66.3 (E)
Westbound (Courthouse Road	L	63.1 (E)	49.7 (D)	48.3 (D)	73.8 (E)	72.6 (E)	69.7 (E)	74.3 (E)	73.1 (E)	70.0 (E)
Southwest)	Т	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-	R	56.5 (E)	52.5 (D)	43.9 (D)	56.4 (E)	86.9 (F)	57.0 (E)	56.1 (E)	86.9 (F)	56.7 (E)
	Overall	61.2 (E)	51.1 (D)	46.6 (D)	68.7 (E)	80.5 (F)	64.9 (E)	69.1 (E)	80.7 (F)	65.0 (E)
Overall Intersection		45.3 (D)	31.4 (C)	27.8 (C)	56.4 (E)	34.3 (C)	20.1 (C)	56.6 (E)	34.4 (C)	20.1 (C)
		2. Nutley S	treet Southw	est and M	aple Avenue	West (sign	alized)			
Northbound (Nutley	L	62.0 (E)	73.1 (E)	53.7 (D)	72.6 (E)	116.0 (F)	57.4 (E)	72.8 (E)	116.0 (F)	57.4 (E)
Street Southwest)	Т	54.9 (D)	75.2 (E)	56.6 (E)	56.0 (E)	111.9 (F)	59.6 (E)	56.3 (E)	112.0 (F)	59.7 (E)
	R	37.7 (D)	38.0 (D)	32.5 (C)	20.2 (C)	51.1 (D)	5.4 (A)	20.4 (C)	48.3 (D)	4.7 (A)
	Overall	49.1 (D)	58.9 (E)	41.7 (D)	45.7 (D)	88.4 (F)	29.1 (C)	45.9 (D)	88.8 (F)	28.5 (C)
Southbound (Nutley	L	47.9 (D)	59.1 (E)	52.7 (D)	51.3 (D)	62.5 (E)	56.6 (E)	51.4 (D)	62.8 (E)	56.9 (E)
Street Southwest)	Т	73.4 (E)	74.1 (E)	57.9 (E)	99.6 (F)	89.8 (F)	65.7 (E)	99.6 (F)	89.8 (F)	65.7 (E)
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	68.4 (E)	70.6 (E)	56.4 (E)	87.6 (F)	82.2 (F)	62.5 (E)	87.5 (F)	82.0 (F)	62.5 (E)
Eastbound (Maple	L	23.7 (C)	27.5 (C)	22.0 (C)	30.6 (C)	35.5 (D)	30.8 (C)	31.1 (C)	35.8 (D)	31.5 (C)
Avenue West)	Т	48.3 (D)	36.2 (D)	40.1 (D)	84.5 (F)	43.3 (D)	79.7 (E)	91.5 (F)	43.8 (D)	91.3 (F)
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	47.8 (D)	35.9 (D)	39.4 (D)	83.2 (F)	43.0 (D)	77.4 (E)	90.1 (F)	43.5 (D)	88.5 (F)
Westbound (Maple	L	39.2 (D)	23.0 (C)	26.7 (C)	89.5 (F)	45.2 (D)	64.2 (E)	90.0 (F)	49.1 (D)	62.2 (E)
Avenue West)	Т	29.4 (C)	35.0 (C)	24.0 (C)	27.2 (C)	23.3 (C)	14.4 (B)	27.6 (C)	24.3 (C)	14.4 (B)
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	31.4 (C)	32.9 (C)	24.7 (C)	40.3 (D)	27.2 (C)	27.6 (C)	41.0 (D)	28.7 (C)	27.1 (C)
Overall Intersection		46.7 (D)	43.3 (D)	36.2 (D)	62.8 (E)	50.5 (D)	47.3 (D)	65.2 (E)	50.9 (D)	50.6 (D)
	3.	Wade Hamp	ton Drive/Lev	wis Street I	N and Maple	Avenue (ui	nsignalized)		
Northbound (Wade Hampton	L	50.5 (F)	34.8 (D)	15.9 (C)	99.3 (F)	20.6 (C)	40.4 (E)	314.2 (F)	83.4 (F)	483.6 (F)
Drive/Lewis St	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
North)	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	12.6 (B)	10.5 (B)	13.2 (B)
	Overall	50.5 (F)	34.8 (D)	15.9 (C)	99.3 (F)	20.6 (C)	40.4 (E)	168.8 (F)	31.9 (D)	172.4 (F)

		Table E1: S	ummary of 2	.020 Total F	uture Capac	ity Analysis	Results			
		1	Delay, Secon	ds per Vehi	cle (Level of	Service)				
Intersection		Ex	kisting (2018))	Bac	kground (20	020)	Tot	al Future (20	20)
Approach	Mvmnt	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT
Southbound (Wade Hampton	L	13.2 (B)	42.9 (E)	21.1 (C)	17.2 (C)	22.9 (C)	44.2 (E)	17.8 (C)	29.1 (D)	49.5 (E)
Drive/Lewis St	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
North)	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	13.2 (B)	42.9 (E)	21.1 (C)	17.2 (C)	22.9 (C)	44.2 (E)	17.8 (C)	29.1 (D)	49.5 (E)
Eastbound (Maple	L	9.4 (A)	15.0 (C)	10.8 (B)	8.2 (A)	11.1 (B)	8.9 (A)	8.2 (A)	11.1 (B)	8.9 (A)
Avenue West)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	0.6 (A)	1.3 (A)	0.5 (A)	0.5 (A)	0.9 (A)	0.4 (A)	0.5 (A)	0.9 (A)	0.4 (A)
Westbound (Maple	L	9.5 (A)	8.5 (A)	9.6 (A)	9.7 (A)	8.5 (A)	10.1 (B)	9.7 (A)	8.5 (A)	10.2 (B)
Avenue West)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	0.1 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.2 (B)	0.1 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.2 (B)	0.1 (A)	0.1 (A)	0.3 (B)
Overall Intersection		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		4. Pleasar	nt Street Nor	thwest and	Maple Avei	nue (unsigna	alized)			
Northbound (Pleasant Street	L	588.1 (F)	39.7 (E)	75.0 (F)	26.9 (D)	22.6 (C)	328.6 (F)	18.6 (C)	24.8 (C)	372.8 (F)
Northwest)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	588.1 (F)	39.7 (E)	75.0 (F)	26.9 (D)	22.6 (C)	328.6 (F)	18.6 (C)	24.8 (C)	372.8 (F)
Southbound	L	63.7 (F)	17.1 (C)	30.9 (D)	20.4 (C)	16.3 (C)	56.4 (F)	14.2 (C)	16.4 (C)	61.0 (F)
(Pleasant Street Northwest)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	63.7 (F)	17.1 (C)	30.9 (D)	20.4 (C)	16.3 (C)	56.4 (F)	14.2 (C)	16.4 (C)	61.0 (F)
Eastbound (Maple	L	8.9 (A)	10.1 (B)	8.8 (A)	8.7 (A)	10.6 (B)	9.1 (A)	8.3 (A)	10.6 (B)	9.1 (A)
Avenue W)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	1.0 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.9 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.3 (A)
Westbound (Maple	L	12.0 (B)	10.0 (A)	11.4 (B)	9.1 (A)	8.4 (A)	9.5 (A)	9.1 (A)	8.4 (A)	9.5 (A)
Avenue W)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	0.2 (A)	0.1 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.2 (A)	0.1 (A)	0.2 (A)	0.2 (A)	0.1 (A)	0.2 (A)
Overall Intersection		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
		5. Glen	Avenue SW a	and Courtho	use Road S	W (unsignal	ized)			
Northbound (Glen	L	17.7 (C)	12.7 (B)	14.9 (B)	17.7 (C)	12.8 (B)	15.2 (C)	17.8 (C)	12.9 (B)	15.4 (C)
Avenue SW)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	R	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	17.7 (C)	12.7 (B)	14.9 (B)	17.7 (C)	12.8 (B)	15.2 (C)	17.8 (C)	12.9 (B)	15.4 (C)

			ummary of 2				Results			
Intersection			Delay, Secon kisting (2018)			kground (20	120)	Tot	al Future (20	120)
Approach	Mvmnt	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT
Southbound (Glen	L	14.2 (B)	15.6 (C)	15.8 (C)	14.2 (B)	15.8 (C)	16.2 (C)	14.3 (B)	16.0 (C)	16.5 (C)
Avenue SW)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	R									-
	Overall	14.2 (B)	15.6 (C)	15.8 (C)	14.2 (B)	15.8 (C)	16.2 (C)	14.3 (B)	16.0 (C)	16.5 (C)
Eastbound	L	7.7 (A)	8.4 (A)	8.0 (A)	7.7 (A)	8.5(A)	8.1 (A)	7.7 (A)	8.5 (A)	8.1 (A)
(Courthouse Road	Т	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)
SW)	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	0.1 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.1 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.2 (A)	0.4 (A)	0.4 (A)
Westbound	L	8.5 (A)	8.0 (A)	8.1 (A)	8.5 (A)	8.0 (A)	8.1 (A)	8.5 (A)	8.0 (A)	8.0 (A)
(Courthouse Road	Т	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)
SW)	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)
Overall Intersection		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	6. Wa	de Hampton	Drive SW and	d Glen Aver	nue SW/ Mil	lwood Cour	t (unsignali	zed)		
Northbound (Wade	L	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)
Hampton Drive SW)	Т	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- '
	Overall	0.3 (A)	0.2 (A)	0.5 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.2 (A)	0.5 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.2 (A)	0.5 (A)
Southbound (Wade	L	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)
Hampton Drive SW)	Т	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	2.8 (A)	2.8 (A)	4.5 (A)	2.8 (A)	2.8 (A)	4.5 (A)	2.8 (A)	2.8 (A)	4.5 (A)
Eastbound (Glen	L	8.8 (A)	9.3 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.8 (A)	9.3 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.8 (A)	9.3 (A)	8.6 (A)
Avenue	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SW/Millwood Court SW)	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	8.8 (A)	9.3 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.8 (A)	9.3 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.8 (A)	9.3 (A)	8.6 (A)
Westbound (Glen	L	8.5 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.4 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.4 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)
Avenue SW/Millwood Court	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SW)	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	8.5 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.4 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.4 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)
Overall Intersection		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		7. 1	Wade Hampto	n Drive SW a	nd Site DW (u	nsignalized)				
Northbound (Wade	L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hampton Drive SW)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)

	Table E1: Summary of 2020 Total Future Capacity Analysis Results Delay, Seconds per Vehicle (Level of Service)											
Intersection		Ex	isting (2018)		Вас	kground (20	020)	Tota	al Future (20	20)		
Approach	Mvmnt	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT		
Southbound (Wade Hampton Drive SW)	L	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.3 (A)	7.4 (A)	7.3 (A)		
	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Overall	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.5 (A)	4.9 (A)	3.7 (A)		
Westbound (Site	L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Driveway)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.6 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)		
	Overall	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.6 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)		
Overall Intersection		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Table	E2: Summary	of 2020 To	otal Fut	ure 95	^{тн} Perce	entile Q	ueues	(Feet)			
Intersection			Existing (2018)			Background (2020)			Total Future (2020)		
Approach	Movement	Storage	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT
1. Nutley Street SW and Courthouse Road SW (signalized)											
Northbound (Nutley Street SW)	NBL	115	85	208	74	36	120	84	36	120	84
	NBTR	N/A	607	551	418	267	618	352	271	631	356
Southbound (Nutley Street SW)	SBL	45	38	20	38	13	13	26	13	13	26
	SBTR	N/A	330	287	252	188	385	273	193	386	275
Eastbound (Courthouse Road SW)	EBLT	225	386	224	205	347	218	199	347	218	199
	EBR	N/A	137	66	62	353	59	50	359	59	50
Westbound (Courthouse Road SW)	WBL	N/A	305	322	282	278	312	276	283	316	280
	WBTR	70	104	384	166	94	378	161	93	379	160
	2. Nutley Stree	t SW and M	aple Av	enue W	(signaliz	ed)					
Northbound (Nutley Street SW)	NBL	220	314	353	162	384	448	142	384	448	142
	NBLT	n/a	280	382	209	237	451	158	237	451	158
	NBR	n/a	178	112	153	87	233	10	91	248	10
Southbound (Nutley Street SW)	SBL	200	111	116	104	152	152	146	153	159	151
	SBTR	n/a	444	333	215	502	384	234	502	384	234
Eastbound (Maple Avenue W)	EBL	90	25	31	39	32	39	54	32	39	54
	EBTR	N/A	632	355	590	722	424	783	726	433	793
Westbound (Maple Avenue W)	WBL	285	185	219	272	272	259	445	287	281	459
	WBTR	n/a	283	712	365	322	724	391	335	763	400
3. Wade	Hampton Drive	Lewis Stree	t N and	Maple A	Avenue	unsigna	lized)				
Northbound (Wade Hampton	NBLTR	N/A	20	23	8	35	13	23	-	-	-
Drive/Lewis St North)	NBL	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	83	25	75
	NBR	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	8

Table	E2: Summary	of 2020 To	otal Fut	ure 95¹	TH Perce	entile Q	ueues	(Feet)			
Intersection				Existing	3	Ва	ckgrou	nd	То	tal Futı	ıre
intersection		(201					(2020)		(2020)		
Approach	Movement	Storage	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT
Southbound (Wade Hampton Drive/Lewis St North)	SBLTR	N/A	13	30	13	18	15	28	20	20	30
Eastbound (Maple Avenue W)	EBL	120	8	20	8	5	13	5	5	13	5
	EBTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westbound (Maple Avenue W)	WBL	95	0	0	3	0	0	3	3	3	3
	WBTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. P	leasant Street N	lorthwest ar	nd Mapl	e Avenu	ıe (unsig	(nalized					
Northbound (Pleasant Street Northwest)	NBLTR	N/A	143	30	55	20	15	118	13	18	123
Southbound (Pleasant Street Northwest)	SBLTR	N/A	35	5	23	10	5	43	5	5	45
Eastbound (Maple Avenue W)	EBL	55	13	3	3	10	3	3	3	3	3
	EBTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westbound (Maple Avenue W)	WBL	70	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
	WBTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	Glen Avenue S\	W and Court	house R	oad SW	(unsign	alized)					
Northbound (Glen Avenue SW)	NBLTR	N/A	3	5	8	3	3	3	3	8	3
Southbound (Glen Avenue SW)	SBLTR	N/A	5	3	8	5	3	5	5	8	5
Eastbound (Courthouse Road SW)	EBLTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Westbound (Courthouse Road SW)	WBLTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Wade Ham	pton Drive SW	and Glen Av	enue SV	V/ Millv	vood Co	urt (uns	ignalize	d)			
Northbound (Wade Hampton Drive SW)	NBLTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southbound (Wade Hampton Drive SW)	SBLTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eastbound (Glen Avenue SW/Millwood Court SW)	EBLTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westbound (Glen Avenue SW/Millwood Court SW)	WBLTR	N/A	0	3	3	0	3	3	0	3	3
7	. Wade Hampto	n Drive and	Site Dri	veway (unsigna	lized)					
Northbound (Wade Hampton Drive)	NBTR	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	3	3
Southbound (Wade Hampton Drive)	SBLT	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	3
Westbound (Site Driveway)	WBLR	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	5	3

INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of a traffic impact study to support a rezoning application for a proposed mixed-use development at 380 Maple Avenue West in the Town of Vienna, Virginia. The proposed development will be located on a 36,842-square foot site currently occupied by a 23,620-square foot office building.

The applicant proposes to rezone the site to the Maple Avenue Commercial (MAC) district and to redevelop with up to 8,500 square feet of retail and up to 42 multi-family residential units. Access to the site is planned to be provided by three access points, all along Wade Hampton Drive Southwest. Out of the three access points, the closest to Maple Avenue (VA 123) will allow for service vehicle loading/unloading access, while the other two access points will serve the retail and residential components on the site. The general site plan detail for the proposed development is included in **Appendix A**.

This study was prepared in accordance with scoping agreement with the Town of Vienna. A copy of this agreement is included in **Appendix B**. This study describes the area transportation system, existing traffic volumes and analysis, the calculation of background traffic volumes and analysis, traffic generated by the proposed development, calculation of total future volumes and analysis, and vehicle queuing patterns. It was determined that intersection capacity and queueing analyses be performed during the weekday AM and PM peak hours and Saturday midday peak hour at six study intersections. The build-out year for this project is 2020.

It is noted that since project scoping, the development program has matured, in large part due to collaboration and coordination with the community and Town staff. As such, the current residential unit count and retail square footage are slightly lower compared to the values described in the scoping document. The values in the scoping document were used as the basis for this study and result in a more conservative analysis of traffic impacts (i.e. this study considers slightly more trips and a slightly larger traffic impact than would likely be generated under the updated site plan).

SITE LOCATION AND STUDY AREA

The mixed-use development of 380 Maple Avenue West is located in the Town of Vienna, Virginia in the southeast quadrant of the intersection of Maple Avenue West and Wade Hampton Drive Southwest. The property is currently occupied by 23,620-square foot office building. The site is identified on Fairfax County Tax Maps as GPIN 0383-02-0147. The site is currently zoned Local Commercial (C-1). Access to the site is currently provided by three full movement driveways along Wade Hampton Drive Southwest and one full movement driveway along Maple Avenue. The proposed development will remove the access along Maple Avenue West and consolidate/relocate the accesses along Wade Hampton Drive Southwest.

EXISTING AREA ROADWAYS

The proposed site is located along Maple Avenue West. This is a principal arterial roadway that runs northeast-southwest through the study area. The speed limit is 30 mph and it carries approximately 30,000 vehicles per day between Nutley Street SW and Follin Lane, based on 2017 Virginia Department of Transportation Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) reports. The study area boundary streets are Pleasant Street Southwest, Nutley Street, Glen Avenue Southwest, and Maple Avenue West.

The following existing intersections were identified for study:

- Nutley Street Southwest and Courthouse Road Southwest (signalized)
- Maple Avenue West and Nutley Street Southwest (signalized)
- Maple Avenue West and Wade Hampton Drive Southwest (unsignalized)
- Maple Avenue West and Pleasant Street Southwest (unsignalized)
- Wade Hampton Drive Southwest and Glen Avenue/Millwood Court Southwest (unsignalized)
- Glen Avenue Southwest and Courthouse Road (unsignalized)

For the purposes of this report, Maple Avenue West and all streets parallel to Maple Avenue West will be referred to as running east-west (instead of northeast-southwest), while Nutley Street Southwest and all roads parallel to Nutley Street Southwest will be referred to as running north-south (instead of northwest-southeast). Study area streets are described:

- Maple Avenue West (VA Route 123) is a five-lane Urban Principal Arterial with two lanes in each direction and a center two way left turn lane (TWTL) east of Nutley Street Southwest. Maple Avenue West (VA Route 123) is a four-lane divided Urban Principal Arterial with two lanes in each direction and a center two way left turn lane (TWTL) west of Nutley Street Southwest. The posted speed limit adjacent to the site is 30 miles per hour (mph). Maple Avenue in the vicinity of the site carries 30,000 vehicles per day (vpd). The intersection of Maple Avenue West and Nutley Street Southwest is signalized.
- Nutley Street Southwest (VA Route 243) is a four-lane, divided, Urban Minor Arterial with two
 lanes in each direction, and a center raised median with a posted speed limit of 30 mph south of
 Maple Avenue West. This section of Nutley Street carries 17,000 vpd. Nutley Street Northwest is
 a two-lane, undivided. Urban Collector with a speed limit of 30 mph to the north of the Maple
 Avenue West. This section of Nutlet Street carries 5,700 vpd. The intersections of Maple Avenue
 West with Nutley Street Southwest and Nutley Street Southwest with Courthouse Road SW are
 signalized.
- Courthouse Road Southwest (VA Route 6648) is a two-lane, undivided, Urban Minor Arterial with one lane in each direction with a posted speed limit of 25 mph. Courthouse Road carries 7,500 vpd south of Maple Avenue West.
- Wade Hampton Drive Southwest is a two-lane, undivided, local street with a posted speed limit of 25 mph. AADTs are not reported for this street.
- Pleasant Street Southwest is a two-lane, undivided, local street with a posted speed limit of 25 mph. AADTs are not reported for this street.
- Glen Avenue/Millwood Court Southwest are two-lane, undivided, local streets with posted speed limits of 25 mph. AADTs are not reported for these streets.

The site location, study area, and study intersections are shown in **Figure 1**. The existing lane designations and traffic control at the study intersections are shown in **Figure 2**.









Existing Lane Designations and Traffic Control 380 Maple Avenue West, Town of Vienna, VA

TRANSIT FACILITIES

The proposed site is located approximately 1.15 miles from the Vienna/Fairfax- GMU Metrorail station. The station is the final stop of the Orange Line in Virginia. The station opens at 4:50 AM Monday – Friday, 6:50 AM Saturday, and 7:50 AM Sunday. The last trains depart for New Carrollton 10:48 PM Monday to Friday, 12:18 AM Saturday, and 10:18 PM Sunday.

The immediate area is served by Fairfax Connector bus routes 461 (Flint Hill-Vienna), 463 (Maple Avenue-Vienna), and 466 (Vienna-Oakton). Connector bus stops are currently located along the south sides Maple Avenue West and Nutley Street Southwest. **Figure 3** shows transit routes in the study area. A description of the transit routes serving the site and the surrounding area is provided below:

Fairfax Connector 461 (Flint Hill-Vienna). Fairfax Connector 461 provides weekday rush hour service circulating between Vienna Metro Station, Nutley Street, Flint Hill Road, James Madison High School, Park Street, and Tapawingo Road. Headways are typically 20 to 30 minutes. Additional route information is provided in **Appendix D.**

Fairfax Connector 463 (Maple Avenue - Vienna). Fairfax Connector 463 provides weekday, Saturday and Sunday service between Vienna Metro Station (north side) and Tysons Corner Metro Station (south side), circulating between Nutley Street, Maple Avenue, Chain Bridge Road, and Gosnell Road. Headways are typically 20 to 30 minutes. Additional route information is provided in **Appendix D.**

Fairfax Connector 466 (Vienna - Oakton). Fairfax Connector 466 provides weekday service circulating between Vienna Metro Station, Chain Bridge Road/James Madison Drive, Blake Lane, Nutley Street, and Oakton high School. Headways are typically 30 to 40 minutes. Additional route information is provided in **Appendix D.**


PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE FACILITIES

The study area generally has a well-defined pedestrian sidewalk network along both sides of most study area streets.

It is noted that there is a small gap in the sidewalk near the proposed site; there is no sidewalk infrastructure connecting the south sidewalk of Maple Avenue West to the east sidewalk of Wade Hampton Drive Southwest.

It is also noted that Glen Avenue SW lacks a sidewalk on either side of the street between Courthouse Road Southwest and Wade Hampton Drive Southwest. This is also true of the west side of Pleasant Street Southwest between Maple Avenue West and Courthouse Road Southwest

Pedestrian countdown signal heads and pushbuttons are provided on all legs of the signalized intersections. Courthouse Road, between Nutley Street and Locust Street is identified as a preferred bicycling route. The study area pedestrian and bicycle networks are shown **on Figure 4.**







Area Transit Routes380 Maple Avenue West, Town of Vienna, VA

Figure 3

Page 13





Source: Town of Vienna Comprehensive Plan 2015 Update



Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities
380 Maple Avenue West, Town of Vienna, VA

Figure 4

Page 14

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Intersection capacity analyses was performed for existing, background, and total future traffic volumes for the study area intersections during the AM and PM peak hours and Saturday midday peak hour. The analyses were performed using the Synchro Software Package (Version 10.0), which utilizes methodologies contained in the *Highway Capacity Manual 2010 (HCM 2010)* for signalized and unsignalized intersections. According to the HCM, capacity is defined as the maximum number of vehicles that can pass over a particular road segment or through a particular intersection within a fixed time duration. The capacity is described by Level of Service (LOS) to indicate the operating characteristics of a road segment or intersection. LOS is defined as a qualitative measure that describes operational conditions and motorist perceptions within a traffic stream. The *Highway Capacity Manual* defines six levels of service, LOS A through LOS F, with A being the best and F being the worst. The level of service standard established as part of the scoping is LOS D.


The ranges of delay for each level of service are shown in **Table 1**.


Table 1: Level of Service Range of Delay										
Lovel of Samine (LOS)	Delay per Vehicle (seconds per vehicle)									
Level of Service (LOS)	Signalized Intersections	Unsignalized Intersections								
Α	≤ 10	≤ 10								
В	> 10 -20	> 10 -15								
С	> 20 - 35	> 15 – 25								
D	> 35 – 55	> 25 – 35								
E	> 55 - 80	> 35 – 50								
F	> 80	> 50								

Traffic signal timings were provided by the Town of Vienna via Synchro files used as part of the 444 Maple Avenue Multi-modal Transportation Impact Study. These synchro files were used for the existing, background, and total future conditions analyses.

EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Traffic counts were collected on Saturday, August 25, 2018 from 11:00 AM to 2:00 PM and the week of September 10, 2018 from 6:00 AM to 9:00 AM and from 4:00 PM to 7:00 PM. The traffic count summary is included in **Appendix C**. Individual peak hour traffic volumes were calculated for each intersection. The existing 2018 AM and PM peak hours and Saturday midday peak hour traffic volumes are shown on **Figure 5 to Figure 7.**







1_10

← 202

111

↓0


Glen Ave SW

495

6

2 🛶

2 9 2







1— 22

<u>15</u>

-1

Glen Ave SW

↓5


14 📑


350 → 2 🛶

6

Millwood Ct

SW







13 📑

350 → 2 🛶

116

-1

Glen Ave SW

√3

2018 EXISTING CONDITIONS CAPACITY ANALYSIS

The existing conditions capacity analyses were based on the existing traffic volumes and existing lane uses and traffic controls at the study area intersections. The existing peak hour factors by intersection and existing heavy vehicle percentage by movements were calculated for this analysis. Peak hour factors are based on existing traffic counts with a range of 0.85 to 1.00. Heavy vehicle percentages are based on existing traffic counts with no upper or lower bounds. For the two-way stop controlled intersections on Maple Avenue West, the "include upstream intersection" Synchro setting was set to "yes" account for the effect of the adjacent signals along the corridor.

The results of the intersection capacity analyses are summarized in **Table 2**. Analysis results show overall level of service and corresponding delay information for each movement, approach, and overall intersection. The Synchro analysis reports are contained in **Appendix E**.

Under existing conditions, all signalized study intersections operate at or better than LOS D during the AM, PM, and Saturday midday peak hours.

It is noted that there are movements and approaches that operate at LOS E at signalized intersections during one or multiple peak hours. These movement and approaches are typically along the minor street approaches and operate at LOS E. They include:

- Eastbound and westbound approaches at Nutley Street Southwest and Courthouse Road Southwest during the AM peak hour
- Southbound approach at Nutley Street Southwest and Maple Avenue West during the AM and PM peak hours and Saturday midday peak hour
- Northbound at Nutley Street Southwest and Maple Avenue West during the PM peak hour

It is also noted that there are multiple minor street movements and approaches that operate at LOS E or F at unsignalized intersections, particularly those intersections along Maple Avenue West. Due the heavy east-west traffic flows along Maple Avenue West during the peak hours, additional delays are experienced for vehicles turning from the minor streets. It is noted that these delays are not uncommon or unexpected for unsignalized approaches to congested commuter-oriented corridors.

Table 2: Summary of 2018 Existing Intersection Capacity Analysis Results Delay, Seconds per Vehicle (Level of Service)											
Intersection		E	kisting (201	8)							
Approach	Movement	AM	PM	SAT							
1. Nutley Street SW and Courthouse Road SW (signalized)											
Northbound (Nutley Street SW)	L	26.1 (C)	17.7 (B)	14.3 (B)							
	Т	40.9 (D)	22.5 (C)	20.2 (C)							
	R	41.9 (D)	23.1 (C)	21.0 (C)							
	Overall	40.3 (D)	21.8 (C)	20.0 (C)							
Southbound (Nutley Street SW)	L	30.7 (C)	20.5 (C)	16.1 (B)							
	Т	33.8 (C)	25.3 (C)	18.4 (B)							
	R	33.9 (C)	25.6 (C)	18.5 (B)							
	Overall	33.7 (C)	25.4 (C)	18.3 (B)							
Eastbound (Courthouse Road SW)	L	43.1 (D)	53.5 (D)	47.4 (D)							
	Т	0.0	0.0	0.0							

Table 2: Summary of 2018 Existin Delay, Seconds per				ults
Intersection			kisting (201	8)
Approach	Movement	AM	PM	SAT
	R	65.2 (E)	55.8 (E)	49.7 (D)
	Overall	56.1 (E)	54.6 (D)	48.6 (D)
Westbound (Courthouse Road SW)	L	63.1 (E)	49.7 (D)	48.3 (D)
	Т	0.0	0.0	0.0
	R	56.5 (E)	52.5 (D)	43.9 (D)
	Overall	61.2 (E)	51.1 (D)	46.6 (D)
Overall Intersection		45.3 (D)	31.4 (C)	27.8 (C)
2. Nutley Street SW and	d Maple Avenue	W (signalize	d)	
Northbound (Nutley Street SW)	L	62.0 (E)	73.1 (E)	53.7 (D)
	Т	54.9 (D)	75.2 (E)	56.6 (E)
	R	37.7 (D)	38.0 (D)	32.5 (C)
	Overall	49.1 (D)	58.9 (E)	41.7 (D)
Southbound (Nutley Street SW)	L	47.9 (D)	59.1 (E)	52.7 (D)
	Т	73.4 (E)	74.1 (E)	57.9 (E)
	R	-	-	-
	Overall	68.4 (E)	70.6 (E)	56.4 (E)
Eastbound (Maple Avenue W)	L	23.7 (C)	27.5 (C)	22.0 (C)
	Т	48.3 (D)	36.2 (D)	40.1 (D)
	R	-	-	-
	Overall	47.8 (D)	35.9 (D)	39.4 (D)
Westbound (Maple Avenue W)	L	39.2 (D)	23.0 (C)	26.7 (C)
	Т	29.4 (C)	35.0 (C)	24.0 (C)
	R	-	-	-
	Overall	31.4 (C)	32.9 (C)	24.7 (C)
Overall Intersection		46.7 (D)	43.3 (D)	36.2 (D)
3. Wade Hampton Drive/Lewis St	reet N and Mapl	e Avenue (u	nsignalized)	
Northbound (Wade Hampton Drive/Lewis	L	50.5 (F)	34.8 (D)	15.9 (C)
St North)	Т	-	-	-
	R	-	-	-
	Overall	50.5 (F)	34.8 (D)	15.9 (C)
Southbound (Wade Hampton Drive/Lewis	L	13.2 (B)	42.9 (E)	21.1 (C)
St North)	Т	-	-	-
	R	_	_	_
Frank and Assault A. 120	Overall	13.2 (B)	42.9 (E)	21.1 (C)
Eastbound (Maple Avenue W)	L	9.4 (A)	15.0 (C)	10.8 (B)
	Т	-	-	-
	R	-	-	-
	Overall		1.3 (A)	0.5 (A)

Table 2: Summary of 2018 Existin				ults		
Delay, Seconds per Intersection	r venicie (Levei		kisting (201	01		
Approach	Movement	AM	PM	- 1		
Westbound (Maple Avenue W)	L	9.5 (A)	8.5 (A)	9.6 (A)		
Westbound (Wapie Avenue W)	T	-	-	-		
	R	_		-		
	Overall	0.1 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.2 (B)		
Overall Intersection	Overuii	0.1 (A)	- U.U (A)	- U.Z (B)		
	at and Mania Arra	-		-		
4. Pleasant Street Northwe				== o (=)		
Northbound (Pleasant Street Northwest)	L	588.1 (F)	39.7 (E)	75.0 (F)		
	Т	-	-	-		
	R	-	-	-		
	Overall	588.1 (F)	39.7 (E)	75.0 (F)		
Southbound (Pleasant Street Northwest)	L	63.7 (F)	17.1 (C)	30.9 (D)		
	Т	-	-	-		
	R	-	-	-		
	Overall	63.7 (F)	17.1 (C)	30.9 (D)		
Eastbound (Maple Avenue W)	L	8.9 (A)	10.1 (B)	8.8 (A)		
	Т	-	-	-		
	R	-	-	-		
	Overall	1.0 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.3 (A)		
Westbound (Maple Avenue W)	L	12.0 (B)	10.0 (A)	11.4 (B)		
	Т	-	-	-		
	R	-	-	-		
	Overall	0.2 (A)	0.1 (A)	0.3 (A)		
Overall Intersection		-	-	-		
5. Glen Avenue SW and C	ourthouse Road S	SW (unsignal	ized)			
Northbound (Glen Avenue SW)	L	17.7 (C)	12.7 (B)	14.9 (B)		
	Т	-	-	-		
	R	-	-	-		
	Overall	17.7 (C)	12.7 (B)	14.9 (B)		
Southbound (Glen Avenue SW)	L	14.2 (B)	15.6 (C)	15.8 (C)		
,	Т	-	-	-		
	R	-	-	-		
	Overall	14.2 (B)	15.6 (C)	15.8 (C)		
Eastbound (Courthouse Road SW)	L	7.7 (A)	8.4 (A)	8.0 (A)		
225.50 and (coarthouse nodu 5w)	T	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)		
	R	- 0.0 (A)	-			
	Overall	0.1 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.3 (A)		

Table 2: Summary of 2018 Existin	ng Intersection (Capacity A	nalysis Res	ults					
Delay, Seconds pe	r Vehicle (Level	of Service)							
Intersection		Ex	8)						
Approach	Movement	AM	PM	SAT					
Westbound (Courthouse Road SW)	L	8.5 (A)	8.0 (A)	8.1 (A)					
	Т	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)					
	R	-	-	-					
	Overall	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)					
Overall Intersection		-	-	-					
6. Wade Hampton Drive SW and Glen Avenue SW/ Millwood Court (unsignalized)									
Northbound (Wade Hampton Drive SW)	L	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)					
	Т	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)					
	R	-	-	-					
	Overall	0.3 (A)	0.2 (A)	0.5 (A)					
Southbound (Wade Hampton Drive SW)	L	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)					
	Т	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)					
	R	-	-	-					
	Overall	2.8 (A)	2.8 (A)	4.5 (A)					
Eastbound (Glen Avenue SW/Millwood	L	8.8 (A)	9.3 (A)	8.6 (A)					
Court SW)	T	-	-	-					
	R	-	-	-					
	Overall	8.8 (A)	9.3 (A)	8.6 (A)					
Westbound (Glen Avenue SW/Millwood	L	8.5 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)					
Court SW)	Т	-	-	-					
	R	-	-	-					
	Overall	8.5 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)					
Overall Intersection		-	-	-					

2018 EXISTING CONDITIONS QUEUING ANALYSIS

Synchro 95th percentile queue analyses were performed at study area intersections under existing conditions as shown in **Table 3**. A 95th percentile queue length is the queue length that only has a 5 percent likelihood of being exceeded during the peak hour. The values shown below are based on an assumed queuing of 25 feet per vehicle in the queue, which is standard for Synchro queueing analyses. The effective storage of turn lanes, equal to the full-width length plus half the taper length, is shown for comparison. The Synchro analysis reports are contained in **Appendix F**. Under existing conditions, queuing at turning movements at the signalized intersections exceeds the available storage length under one or multiple peak periods at certain locations. These includes:

- NBL at Nutley Street Southwest and Courthouse Road Southwest during the PM peak hour
- EBTL at Nutley Street and Courthouse Road Southwest during the AM peak hour
- WBTR at Nutley Street Southwest and Courthouse Road Southwest during the AM, PM, and Saturday midday peak hours

NBL at Nutley Street Southwest and Maple Avenue West during the AM and PM peak hours

Queuing at unsignalized intersections is generally minimal.

The queuing for the through movements along Maple Avenue W underscores the fact that it is a significant east-west corridor that supports peak direction commuter travel patterns. During the peak hours, this eastbound and westbound queuing and congestion creates challenges for turning movements from minor street approaches.

Table 3: Summary of 2018 Existing Intersection 95 [™] Percentile Queues (Feet)										
Intersection	rsection									
Approach	Movement	Storage	AM	PM	SAT					
1. Nutley Street SW and Courthouse Road SW (signalized)										
Northbound (Nutley Street SW)	NBL	115	85	208	74					
	NBTR	N/A	607	551	418					
Southbound (Nutley Street SW)	SBL	45	38	20	38					
	SBTR	N/A	330	287	252					
Eastbound (Courthouse Road SW)	EBLT	225	386	224	205					
	EBR	N/A	137	66	62					
Westbound (Courthouse Road SW)	WBL	N/A	305	322	282					
	WBTR	70	104	384	166					
2. Nutley Street SW and	d Maple Avenue	W (signalize	d)							
Northbound (Nutley Street SW)	NBL	220	314	353	162					
	NBLT	n/a	280	382	209					
	NBR	n/a	178	112	153					
Southbound (Nutley Street SW)	SBL	200	111	116	104					
	SBTR	n/a	444	333	215					
Eastbound (Maple Avenue W)	EBL	90	25	31	39					
	EBTR	N/A	632	355	590					
Westbound (Maple Avenue W)	WBL	285	185	219	272					
	WBTR	n/a	283	712	365					
3. Wade Hampton Drive/Lewis St	reet N and Map	le Avenue (u	nsignaliz	ed)						
Northbound (Wade Hampton Drive/Lewis St North)	NBLTR	N/A	38	33	20					
Southbound (Wade Hampton Drive/Lewis St North)	SBLTR	N/A	30	35	25					
Eastbound (Maple Avenue W)	EBL	120	8	20	8					
	EBTR	N/A	0	0	0					
Westbound (Maple Avenue W)	WBL	95	3	0	3					
	WBTR	N/A	0	0	0					
4. Pleasant Street Northwest and Maple Avenue (unsignalized)										
Northbound (Pleasant Street Northwest)	NBLTR	N/A	160	73	105					
Southbound (Pleasant Street Northwest)	SBLTR	N/A	43	13	35					
Eastbound (Maple Avenue W)	EBL	55	20	5	5					

Table 3: Summary of 2018 Existing Intersection 95 [™] Percentile Queues (Feet)										
Intersection	Intersection Existing (20									
Approach	Movement	Storage	AM	PM	SAT					
	EBTR	N/A	0	0	0					
Westbound (Maple Avenue W)	WBL	70	3	3	3					
	WBTR	N/A	0	0	0					
5. Glen Avenue SW and Courthouse Road SW (unsignalized)										
Northbound (Glen Avenue SW)	NBLTR	N/A	3	5	8					
Southbound (Glen Avenue SW)	SBLTR	N/A	5	3	8					
Eastbound (Courthouse Road SW)	EBLTR	EBLTR N/A			0					
Westbound (Courthouse Road SW)	WBLTR	N/A	0	0	0					
6. Wade Hampton Drive SW and Gler	Avenue SW/ M	illwood Cour	t (unsigi	nalized)						
Northbound (Wade Hampton Drive SW)	NBLTR	N/A	0	0	0					
Southbound (Wade Hampton Drive SW)	SBLTR	N/A	0	0	0					
Eastbound (Glen Avenue SW/Millwood Court SW)	EBLTR	N/A	0	0	0					
Westbound (Glen Avenue SW/Millwood Court SW)	WBLTR	N/A	0	3	3					

2020 FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT DEVELOPMENT

BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Background traffic volumes represent future traffic that would travel through the area intersections without the proposed development. *Table 4* and *Table 5* show the annual average daily traffic data along Maple Avenue West and along Nutley Street Southwest, respectively. The data in these tables generally shows declining traffic volumes. Therefore, a 1 percent annual growth rate represents a conservative estimate of growth based on the historical traffic data. This growth percentage was agreed to during project scoping.

Table 4: Annual Average Daily Traffic Maple Avenue (SCL Vienna to Follin Lane)								
Year	Year VDOT AADT Growth to 20:							
2017	31000	-						
2016	30000	3%						
2015	34000	-9%						
2014	33000	-6%						

Source: http://www.virginiadot.org/info/ct-TrafficCounts.asp

Table 5: Annual Average Daily Traffic Nutley Street (Courthouse Road to Maple Avenue)								
Year	Year VDOT AADT Growth to 20							
2017	17000	-						
2016	17000	0%						
2015	17000	0%						
2014	18000	-6%						

Source: http://www.virginiadot.org/info/ct-TrafficCounts.asp

Based on the scoping document, the movements at the intersection of Nutley Street Southwest and Maple Avenue West were grown by 1 percent up to year 2020 traffic volumes. This growth was then distributed through the network as through volumes at up- and downstream intersections. This is consistent with the 444 Maple Avenue traffic study. The resulting 2020 traffic volumes resulting from regional growth are shown in **Figure 8 to Figure 10**.

In addition to regional growth, staff identified three nearby pipeline developments for consideration in this study:

- Flagship Carwash [Tax Map 38-3((2))115 and 38-3((2))152A], located at 540 Maple Avenue]. The development includes a car wash and 5,001 GSF fast-food restaurant
- Vienna Market/Maple Avenue Consolidation [Tax Map 38-4((4))2 & 4 and 38-4((2))15, 16 and 17], located at 245 Maple Avenue and 101, 107, 115 Pleasant Street. The development includes up to 8,200 GSF of retail use and up to 44 townhouse style units.
- 444 Maple Avenue [Tax Map 38-3 ((2)) 139, 140 & 141]. The development includes up to 160 multifamily dwelling units and up to 20,000 GSF of retail.


For the purposes of this study, and being consistent with the analyses performed as part of the 444 Maple Avenue traffic study, the trip generation for the Vienna Marketplace is based on the original proposed


retail square footage. The approved retail square footage is slightly lower. This results in a conservative analysis of background trip generation (i.e. this study considers more trips and a slightly larger traffic impact than if the approved square footage were used.)

It is noted that the 444 Maple Avenue development has not yet been approved. Typically, traffic studies only consider the traffic generated by unbuilt developments that have been approved. Given the recent town-wide discussion and scrutiny regarding the MAC and, specifically, the 444 Maple Avenue application, it is prudent and appropriate to consider the traffic generated by the proposed use. Excluding this use would result in a gap in the understanding of the impacts of the potential redevelopment of the area and call into question the validity of the results of this study in comparison to other recently submitted studies.

The pipeline developments are shown on **Figure 11**. Peak hour traffic volumes associated with each pipeline development are based on the assignments reported in the 444 Maple Avenue Multi-modal Transportation Impact Analysis. The peak hour traffic volumes generated by the pipeline developments are shown on **Figure 12 to Figure 14**.

Figure 15 to Figure 17 show the 2020 background peak hour traffic volumes, which represent the existing traffic volumes increased by applying the annual traffic growth factor to the year 2020 and adding in the traffic associated with pipeline developments.







1_10

← 202

111


↓0


Glen Ave SW


495

2 🛶


2 6 2
















13 _

350 → 2 🛶

Millwood Ct

116

-1

↓3

Glen Ave SW



Table 4-1 444 Maple Pipeline Trip Generation Analysis ¹

Land Use	Land Use Code	Size	Units	In	AM Peak Hou Out	r Total	In	PM Peak Hou Out	r Total	Weekday ADT	<u>Sat</u> In	urday Peak I Out	lour Total
Marco Polo Site ITE - Shopping Center Internal Capture (5% AM, 10% PM, 1 Pass-by Reduction (25%) Retail Subtotal Townhouses Internal Capture (5% AM, 10% PM, 1 Residential Subtotal	230	26,000 49	SF	43 (1) 42 (11) 31 5 0 5	26 0 26 (7) 19 24 (1) 23	69 (1) 68 (17) 51 29 (1) 28	117 (1) 116 (29) 87 22 (2) 20	126 (2) 124 (31) 93 11 (1) 10	243 (3) 240 (60) 180 33 (3) 30	2,829 (52) 2,777 (694) 2,083 346 (52) 294	189 (2) 187 (47) 140 28 (3) 25	175 (3) 172 (43) 129 23 (2) 21	364 (5) 359 (90) 269 51 (5) 46
Total Trips				36	42	79	107	103	210	2,377	165	150	315
Flagship Car Wash & Restaurant Car Wash Fast-food Restaurant with Drive-th Pass-By Trip Reduction Diverted Link Trip Reduction Restaurant New Primary	ru 934	5,001	SF	1 116 (59) (32) 24	0 112 (57) (31) 24	1 228 (116) (63) 48	31 <u>85</u> (40) (20) 26	32 <u>79</u> (37) (18) 24	63 164 (77) (38) 48	630 2.482	84 151 (71) (35) 45	90 <u>145</u> (68) (33) 44	174 296 (139) (68) 48
Total Trips				117	112	229	116	111	227	3,112	235	235	470

Note(s):

^{2.} Trip generation for Flagship Car Wash & Restaurant consistent with TIA performed by Wells + Associates and dated April 6, 2016




Pipeline Development Locations


Legend

Site Location


Pipeline Development


^{1.} Trip generation for Marco Polo Site consistent with TIA performed by Wells + Associates and dated March 17, 2016





























2020 Background AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

380 Maple Avenue West, Town of Vienna, VA

10 €

← 211

111


↓0


Glen Ave SW

495

2 🛶

2 9 2







1— 22


<u>15</u>


-1

Glen Ave SW

14 📑

350 → 2 🛶







2020 Background Saturday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

380 Maple Avenue West, Town of Vienna, VA

Figure 17

13 _

350 → 2 🛶

16

-1

Glen Ave SW

√3

Page 36

2020 BACKGROUND CONDITIONS CAPACITY ANALYSIS

The 2020 background conditions capacity analyses were based on the background traffic volumes with existing lane uses and traffic controls at the study area intersections. Peak hour factors were increased to 0.92 or remained consistent with those used in the existing conditions. Heavy vehicle percentages were the same as those used in the existing conditions analyses. Signal timings were based on the background Synchro files prepared as part of the 444 Maple Avenue Multi-modal Transportation Study.

The results of the intersection capacity analyses are summarized in Table 6. Results of the existing conditions analyses are also shown for comparison. Analysis results show overall level of service and corresponding delay information for each movement, approach, and overall intersection. The Synchro analysis reports are contained in **Appendix E**.

The following signalized intersections will operate with a lower overall LOS compared to existing conditions:

- Nutley Street Southwest and Courthouse Road Southwest changes from LOS D to E during the AM peak hour
- Nutley Street Southwest and Maple Avenue West changes from LOS D to E during the AM peak hour and LOS C to D during the Saturday Midday peak hour

In comparison to existing conditions, under background conditions, both the intersection of Nutley Street Southwest and Courthouse Road Southwest and the intersection of Maple Avenue West and Nutley Street Southwest will operate at LOS E during the AM peak hour, which is below the intersection operation standard identified in the scoping document.

It is noted that, compared to existing conditions, there will be more movements and approaches at signalized intersections that operate at LOS E or F. These movements and approaches are typically along the minor street. Approaches that will operate at LOS E or F include:

- Eastbound and westbound approaches at Nutley Street Southwest and Courthouse Road Southwest during the AM, PM, and Saturday midday peak hours
- Southbound approach at Nutley Street Southwest and Maple Avenue West during the AM, PM, and Saturday midday peak hours
- Northbound approach at Nutley Street Southwest and Maple Avenue West during the PM peak hour
- Eastbound approach at Nutley Street Southwest and Maple Avenue West during the AM and Saturday midday peak hours

Generally, most movements and approaches will also experience increased vehicle delays due to the increased amount of traffic that is forecasted in the area. Exceptions to this occur where optimized signal timings were used to reallocate the amount of green time to better serve congested approaches.

It is also noted that there will be multiple minor street movements and approaches that operate at LOS E or F at unsignalized intersections, particularly those along Maple Avenue West. Due the predominant east-west traffic flows along Maple Avenue W during the peak hours, additional delays are experienced by vehicles turning from the minor street. It is noted that these delays are not uncommon or unexpected for unsignalized approaches to congested corridors.

Table 6: Summary De	of 2020 Backgr lay, Seconds po				ysis Results		
Intersection		Ex	kisting (201	8)	Bac	kground (20	020)
Approach	Movement	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT
1	L. Nutley Street S	W and Cour	thouse Road	sw			
Northbound (Nutley Street SW)	L	26.1 (C)	17.7 (B)	14.3 (B)	23.0 (C)	16.7 (B)	14.2 (B)
	Т	40.9 (D)	22.5 (C)	20.2 (C)	36.9 (D)	24.6 (C)	1.5 (A)
	R	41.9 (D)	23.1 (C)	21.0 (C)	37.2 (D)	24.7 (C)	1.5 (A)
	Overall	40.3 (D)	21.8 (C)	20.0 (C)	36.1 (D)	23.2 (C)	2.6 (A)
Southbound (Nutley Street SW)	L	30.7 (C)	20.5 (C)	16.1 (B)	27.0 (C)	21.7 (C)	15.3 (B)
	Т	33.8 (C)	25.3 (C)	18.4 (B)	23.3 (C)	2.4 (A)	0.9 (A)
	R	33.9 (C)	25.6 (C)	18.5 (B)	23.2 (C)	2.4 (A)	0.9 (A)
	Overall	33.7 (C)	25.4 (C)	18.3 (B)	23.4 (C)	2.8 (A)	1.7 (A)
Eastbound (Courthouse Road SW)	L	43.1 (D)	53.5 (D)	47.4 (D)	53.8 (D)	76.5 (E)	63.1 (E)
	Т	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	R	65.2 (E)	55.8 (E)	49.7 (D)	155.4 (F)	92.4 (F)	69.5 (E)
	Overall	56.1 (E)	54.6 (D)	48.6 (D)	113.6 (F)	84.3 (F)	66.3 (E)
Westbound (Courthouse Road SW)	L	63.1 (E)	49.7 (D)	48.3 (D)	73.8 (E)	72.6 (E)	69.7 (E)
	Т	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	R	56.5 (E)	52.5 (D)	43.9 (D)	56.4 (E)	86.9 (F)	57.0 (E)
	Overall	61.2 (E)	51.1 (D)	46.6 (D)	68.7 (E)	80.5 (F)	64.9 (E)
Overall Intersection		45.3 (D)	31.4 (C)	27.8 (C)	56.4 (E)	34.3 (C)	20.1 (C)
	2. Nutley Street	t SW and Ma	ple Avenue	w			
Northbound (Nutley Street SW)	L	62.0 (E)	73.1 (E)	53.7 (D)	72.6 (E)	116.0 (F)	57.4 (E)
	Т	54.9 (D)	75.2 (E)	56.6 (E)	56.0 (E)	111.9 (F)	59.6 (E)
	R	37.7 (D)	38.0 (D)	32.5 (C)	20.2 (C)	51.1 (D)	5.4 (A)
	Overall	49.1 (D)	58.9 (E)	41.7 (D)	45.7 (D)	88.4 (F)	29.1 (C)
Southbound (Nutley Street SW)	L	47.9 (D)	59.1 (E)	52.7 (D)	51.3 (D)	62.5 (E)	56.6 (E)
	Т	73.4 (E)	74.1 (E)	57.9 (E)	99.6 (F)	89.8 (F)	65.7 (E)
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	68.4 (E)	70.6 (E)	56.4 (E)	87.6 (F)	82.2 (F)	62.5 (E)
Eastbound (Maple Avenue W)	L	23.7 (C)	27.5 (C)	22.0 (C)	30.6 (C)	35.5 (D)	30.8 (C)
	Т	48.3 (D)	36.2 (D)	40.1 (D)	84.5 (F)	43.3 (D)	79.7 (E)
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	47.8 (D)	35.9 (D)	39.4 (D)	83.2 (F)	43.0 (D)	77.4 (E)
Westbound (Maple Avenue W)	L	39.2 (D)	23.0 (C)	26.7 (C)	89.5 (F)	45.2 (D)	64.2 (E)
	Т	29.4 (C)	35.0 (C)	24.0 (C)	27.2 (C)	23.3 (C)	14.4 (B)
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	31.4 (C)	32.9 (C)	25.7 (C)	40.3 (D)	27.2 (C)	27.6 (C)
Overall Intersection		46.7 (D)	43.3 (D)	26.2 (C)	62.8 (E)	50.5 (D)	47.3 (D)
3. Wad	e Hampton Drive	/Lewis Stree	et N and Map	ole Avenue			
Northbound (Wade Hampton Drive/Lewis	L	50.5 (F)	34.8 (D)	15.9 (C)	99.3 (F)	20.6 (C)	40.4 (E)
St North)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-

Movement	Table 6: Summary De	of 2020 Backgr lay, Seconds p				ysis Results		
R	Intersection		Ex	kisting (201	8)	Вас	kground (2	020)
Southbound (Wade Hampton Drive/Lewis St North)	Approach	Movement	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT
Southbound (Wade Hampton Drive/Lewis St North) Control 13.2 (8) 42.9 (8) 21.1 (2) 17.2 (2) 22.9 (2) 44.2 (8)		R	-	-	-	-	-	-
St North) T		Overall	50.5 (F)	34.8 (D)	15.9 (C)	99.3 (F)	20.6 (C)	40.4 (E)
T		L	13.2 (B)	42.9 (E)	21.1 (C)	17.2 (C)	22.9 (C)	44.2 (E)
Part	St North)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eastbound (Maple Avenue W) Composition		R	-	-	-	-	-	-
T		Overall	13.2 (B)	42.9 (E)	21.1 (C)	17.2 (C)	22.9 (C)	44.2 (E)
R	Eastbound (Maple Avenue W)	L	9.4 (A)	15.0 (C)	10.8 (B)	8.2 (A)	11.1 (B)	8.9 (A)
Westbound (Maple Avenue W) California Note N		Т	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nestbound (Maple Avenue W)		R	-	-	-	-	-	-
T -		Overall	0.6 (A)	1.3 (A)	0.5 (A)	0.5 (A)	0.9 (A)	0.4 (A)
Note Personal Note Not	Westbound (Maple Avenue W)	L	9.5 (A)	8.5 (A)	9.6 (A)	9.7 (A)	8.5 (A)	10.1 (B)
Noverall Intersection Noverall Noveral		Т	-	-	-	-	-	-
Overall Intersection		R	-	-	-	-	-	-
Northbound (Pleasant Street Northwest)		Overall	0.1 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.2 (B)	0.1 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.2 (B)
Northbound (Pleasant Street Northwest) L 588.1 (F) 39.7 (E) 75.0 (F) 26.9 (D) 22.6 (C) 328.6 (F) T - - - - - - R - - - - - - Overall 588.1 (F) 39.7 (E) 75.0 (F) 26.9 (D) 22.6 (C) 328.6 (F) Southbound (Pleasant Street Northwest)	Overall Intersection		-	-	-	-	-	-
T	4.	Pleasant Street	Northwest a	nd Maple Av	venue			
R	Northbound (Pleasant Street Northwest)	L	588.1 (F)	39.7 (E)	75.0 (F)	26.9 (D)	22.6 (C)	328.6 (F)
Southbound (Pleasant Street Northwest) L 63.7 (F) 17.1 (C) 30.9 (D) 22.6 (C) 328.6 (F)		Т	-	-	-	-	-	-
Southbound (Pleasant Street Northwest) L 63.7 (F) 17.1 (C) 30.9 (D) 20.4 (C) 16.3 (C) 56.4 (F) T		R	-	-	-	-	-	-
T		Overall	588.1 (F)	39.7 (E)	75.0 (F)	26.9 (D)	22.6 (C)	328.6 (F)
R	Southbound (Pleasant Street Northwest)	L	63.7 (F)	17.1 (C)	30.9 (D)	20.4 (C)	16.3 (C)	56.4 (F)
Overall 63.7 (F) 17.1 (C) 30.9 (D) 20.4 (C) 16.3 (C) 56.4 (F)		Т	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eastbound (Maple Avenue W) L 8.9 (A) 10.1 (B) 8.8 (A) 8.7 (A) 10.6 (B) 9.1 (A) T		R	-	-	-	-	-	-
T		Overall	63.7 (F)	17.1 (C)	30.9 (D)	20.4 (C)	16.3 (C)	56.4 (F)
R	Eastbound (Maple Avenue W)	L	8.9 (A)	10.1 (B)	8.8 (A)	8.7 (A)	10.6 (B)	9.1 (A)
Overall 1.0 (A) 0.3 (A) 0.3 (A) 0.9 (A) 0.3 (A) 0.3 (A) Westbound (Maple Avenue W) L 12.0 (B) 10.0 (A) 11.4 (B) 9.1 (A) 8.4 (A) 9.5 (A) T - <t< td=""><td></td><td>Т</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></t<>		Т	-	-	-	-	-	-
T -		R	-	-	-	-	-	-
T		Overall	1.0 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.9 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.3 (A)
R -	Westbound (Maple Avenue W)	L	12.0 (B)	10.0 (A)	11.4 (B)	9.1 (A)	8.4 (A)	9.5 (A)
Overall 0.2 (A) 0.1 (A) 0.3 (A) 0.2 (A) 0.1 (A) 0.2 (A) Overall Intersection - <td></td> <td>Т</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>		Т	-	-	-	-	-	-
Overall Intersection		R	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. Glen Avenue SW and Courthouse Road SW		Overall	0.2 (A)	0.1 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.2 (A)	0.1 (A)	0.2 (A)
	Overall Intersection		-	-	-	-	-	-
Northhound (Glen Avenue SW) I 17.7 (C) 12.7 (R) 14.0 (R) 17.7 (C) 12.9 (R) 15.2 (C)		5. Glen Avenue S	SW and Court	thouse Road	sw			
17.7 (C) 12.7 (D) 14.5 (D) 17.7 (C) 12.0 (D) 13.2 (C)	Northbound (Glen Avenue SW)	L	17.7 (C)	12.7 (B)	14.9 (B)	17.7 (C)	12.8 (B)	15.2 (C)
т		Т	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 6: Summary	of 2020 Backgro				ysis Results		
Intersection	,, 50001145 p.		cisting (201		Вас	kground (20	020)
Approach	Movement	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	17.7 (C)	12.7 (B)	14.9 (B)	17.7 (C)	12.8 (B)	15.2 (C)
Southbound (Glen Avenue SW)	L	14.2 (B)	15.6 (C)	15.8 (C)	14.2 (B)	15.8 (C)	16.2 (C)
	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	14.2 (B)	15.6 (C)	15.8 (C)	14.2 (B)	15.8 (C)	16.2 (C)
Eastbound (Courthouse Road SW)	L	7.7 (A)	8.4 (A)	8.0 (A)	7.7 (A)	8.45(A)	8.1 (A)
	Т	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	0.1 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.1 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.3 (A)
Westbound (Courthouse Road SW)	L	8.5 (A)	8.0 (A)	8.1 (A)	8.5 (A)	8.0 (A)	8.1 (A)
	Т	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)
Overall Intersection	'	-	-	-	-	-	-
6. Wade Ha	ampton Drive SW	and Glen A	venue SW/ N	fillwood Cou	urt		
Northbound (Wade Hampton Drive SW)	L	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)
	Т	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	0.3 (A)	0.2 (A)	0.5 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.2 (A)	0.5 (A)
Southbound (Wade Hampton Drive SW)	L	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)
	Т	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	2.8 (A)	2.8 (A)	4.5 (A)	2.8 (A)	2.8 (A)	4.5 (A)
Eastbound (Glen Avenue SW/Millwood	L	8.8 (A)	9.3 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.8 (A)	9.3 (A)	8.6 (A)
Court SW)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	8.8 (A)	9.3 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.8 (A)	9.3 (A)	8.6 (A)
Westbound (Glen Avenue SW/Millwood	L	8.5 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.4 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)
Court SW)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	8.5 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.4 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)

2020 BACKGROUND CONDITIONS QUEUING ANALYSIS

Synchro 95th percentile queue analyses were performed at study area intersections under background conditions as shown in **Table 7**. A 95th percentile queue length is the queue length that only has a 5 percent likelihood of being exceeded during the peak hour. The values shown below are based on an assumed queuing of 25 feet per vehicle in the queue, which is standard for Synchro queueing analyses. The effective storage of turn lanes, equal to the full-width length plus half the taper length, is shown for comparison. Synchro reports are included in Appendix F.

Under background conditions, queuing at certain turning movements at the signalized intersections will exceed the available storage length under one or multiple peak periods. This includes:

- NBL at Nutley Street Southwest and Courthouse Road Southwest during the PM peak hour (consistent with existing conditions)
- EBTL at Nutley Street Southwest and Courthouse Road Southwest during the AM peak hour
- WBTR at Nutley Street Southwest and Courthouse Road Southwest during the AM and PM peak hours and Saturday peak hour (less queuing compared to existing conditions)
- NBL at Nutley Street Southwest and Maple Avenue West during the AM and PM peak hours (consistent with existing conditions)
- WBL at Nutley Street Southwest and Maple Avenue West during Saturday midday peak hours

Queuing at unsignalized intersections will remain insignificant with a maximum of six queued vehicles at the intersection of Maple Avenue West and Pleasant Street Southwest.

The queuing for the through movements along Maple Avenue West continue to underscore the fact that it is a significant east-west corridor that supports peak direction commuter travel patterns. During the peak hours, this amount of queuing and congestion creates challenges for turning movements from minor street approaches. This is more pronounced in the background conditions due to the growth of traffic volumes.

Table 7: Summary of 2018 Existing Intersection 95 [™] Percentile Queues (Feet)											
Intersection			Exis	ting (20	018)	Background (2020)					
Approach	Movement	Storage	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT			
1. Nutley Street SW and Courthouse Road SW											
Northbound (Nutley Street SW)	NBL	115	85	208	74	36	120	84			
	NBTR	N/A	607	551	418	267	618	352			
Southbound (Nutley Street SW)	SBL	45	38	20	38	13	13	26			
	SBTR	N/A	330	287	252	188	385	273			
Eastbound (Courthouse Road SW)	EBLT	225	386	224	205	347	218	199			
	EBR	N/A	137	66	62	353	59	50			
Westbound (Courthouse Road SW)	WBL	N/A	305	322	282	278	312	276			
	WBTR	70	104	384	166	94	378	161			
2. Nu	tley Street SW a	nd Maple Av	enue W								
Northbound (Nutley Street SW)	NBL	220	314	353	162	384	448	142			
	NBLT	N/A	280	382	209	237	451	158			
	NBR	N/A	178	112	153	87	233	10			
Southbound (Nutley Street SW)	SBL	200	111	116	104	152	152	146			

Table 7: Summary	of 2018 Existing	g Intersecti	on 95 TH	Percer	ntile Qu	eues (F	eet)	
Intersection			Exis	sting (20	018)	Backg	round ((2020)
Approach	Movement	Storage	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT
	SBTR	N/A	444	333	215	502	384	234
Eastbound (Maple Avenue W)	EBL	90	25	31	39	32	39	54
	EBTR	N/A	632	355	590	722	424	783
Westbound (Maple Avenue W)	WBL	285	185	219	272	272	259	445
	WBTR	N/A	283	712	365	322	724	391
3. Wade Hampton Drive/Lewis Street N and	Maple Avenue							
Northbound (Wade Hampton Drive/Lewis St North)	NBLTR	N/A	20	23	8	35	13	23
Southbound (Wade Hampton Drive/Lewis St North)	SBLTR	N/A	13	30	13	18	15	28
Eastbound (Maple Avenue W)	EBL	120	8	20	8	5	13	5
	EBTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westbound (Maple Avenue W)	WBL	95	0	0	3	0	0	3
	WBTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Pleas	ant Street North	west and Ma	ple Ave	nue				
Northbound (Pleasant Street Northwest)	NBLTR	N/A	143	30	55	20	15	118
Southbound (Pleasant Street Northwest)	SBLTR	N/A	35	5	23	10	5	43
Eastbound (Maple Avenue W)	EBL	55	13	3	3	10	3	3
	EBTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westbound (Maple Avenue W)	WBL	70	3	3	3	3	3	3
	WBTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Gler	n Avenue SW and	l Courthouse	Road S	w				
Northbound (Glen Avenue SW)	NBLTR	N/A	3	5	8	3	3	8
Southbound (Glen Avenue SW)	SBLTR	N/A	5	3	8	5	3	8
Eastbound (Courthouse Road SW)	EBLTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westbound (Courthouse Road SW)	WBLTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Wade Hampto	n Drive SW and G	ilen Avenue	SW/ Mil	lwood C	Court			
Northbound (Wade Hampton Drive SW)	NBLTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southbound (Wade Hampton Drive SW)	SBLTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eastbound (Glen Avenue SW/Millwood Court SW)	EBLTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westbound (Glen Avenue SW/Millwood Court SW)	WBLTR	N/A	0	3	3	0	3	3

2020 FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH DEVELOPMENT

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed development will be located on a 36,842-square foot property currently occupied by a 23,620-square foot office building. The applicant proposes to rezone the site to the Maple Avenue Commercial (MAC) district and to redevelop with approximately 8,500 square feet of retail and up to 42 multi-family residential units.

Access to the site is planned to be provided by three access points, all along Wade Hampton Drive Southwest. Out of the three access points, the closest to Maple Avenue (VA 123) will allow for service vehicle loading/unloading access, while the other two access points will serve the retail and residential components on the site. The site will include a total of 125 parking space split between above- and belowgrade garage parking. To reduce the possibility of site traffic driving through neighborhood streets, left turns will be prohibited for vehicles exiting the access points.

As a part of the development, the northbound approach at the intersection of Wade Hampton Southwest at Maple Avenue West will be changed from a single, left-through-right lane to one left-through lane and one right-turn only lane.

Development of the property is recommended in accordance with the Maple Avenue Commercial ("MAC") Zone as outlined in the Town's Zoning Ordinance. The "MAC" Zone envisions compact, mixed-use and pedestrian oriented development.

Bicycle parking will be provided on-site. There are 12 short term and 12 long term spaces provided for the residential component, and six spaces provided for the retail component.

The sidewalk along Maple Avenue West is being widened significantly in conformance with the "MAC" Zone. A connection from the sidewalk on the south side of Maple Avenue West to the east side of Wade Hampton Drive Southwest is being provided where there is currently a gap. A 5-foot sidewalk is being added to Glen Ave Southwest where there currently is none.

SITE TRIP GENERATION

Peak hour traffic volumes generated by the proposed development were calculated using the *Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition.* Trip generation for the existing and proposed uses on the property was calculated based on the peak hour of generator. **Table 8** shows the trip generation comparison for the existing and proposed uses on the site.

The net trip generation for this development is based on the proposed development with credit applied for the existing office building on the property.

		Ta	able 8: Exi	sting ar	nd Propo	sed Trip G	eneration				
Land Use		AM			PM	PM Sat			turday Midday		
Lailu OSE	ln	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	ADT	
Existing – 23,620 GSF General Office Building (LUC 710)	-31	-4	-35	-6	-28	-34	-7	-6	-13	-230	
Proposed - 4,500 SF Shopping Center	8	6	14	10	9	19	10	10	20	170	
Proposed - 4,000 SF High-Turnover (Sit- Down) Restaurant	32	24	56	36	34	70	23	22	45	449	
Proposed – 42 DU Multifamily Housing (Mid -Rise)	4	9	13	10	7	17	9	9	18	228	
Net Site Generated Trips	13	35	48	50	22	72	35	35	70	617	

During the AM peak hour, the proposed use generates 48 additional trips compared to existing use. During the PM peak hour, the proposed use generates 72 additional trips compared to existing use. During the Saturday Midday peak hour, the proposed use generates 70 additional trips compared to the existing use.


No pass-by or internal capture reductions were applied in this analysis. This results in a conservative analysis of traffic impacts as it is likely there would be double counting of retail trips that would originate from the on-site residents, as well as assuming there would be no existing motorists along Maple Avenue would be attracted to the shopping center or restaurant.

SITE TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT


Existing trips were removed and site generated trips were assigned to the study area intersections based on the distributions agreed to as part of project scoping and summarized in **Table 9** below, and shown on **Figure 18**.


Table 9: Directional Distribution of Site G	enerated Traffic
Direction To/From	Percentage
To/From East on Maple Avenue	35%
To/From West on Maple Avenue	25%
To/From South on Nutley Street	30%
To/From North on Nutley Street	10%
Total	100%

Figure 19 to Figure 21 show the removal of trips generated by the existing office. **Figure 22 to Figure 24** shows the assignment of site generated trips. **Figure 25 to Figure 27** shows the assignment of the net site generated trips onto study area intersections.













Removal of AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Generated by Existing Office

380 Maple Avenue West, Town of Vienna, VA

Figure 19

Page 46








Removal of PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Generated by Existing Office

380 Maple Avenue West, Town of Vienna, VA

Figure 20

Page 47







-1 📑

1 −1


Glen Ave SW






























TOTAL FUTURE TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Total future volumes represent future traffic volumes with the full build-out of the proposed development. This was calculated by adding the trips generated by the net trips proposed to the background traffic volumes. Total future volumes were calculated for the build-out year of 2020. The resulting 2020 total future traffic volumes at the study intersections are shown on **Figure 28 to Figure 30.**







1_10

← 215

112

↓0

1 39


Wade Hampton Dr SW


Glen Ave SW

10 495

2 🛶

2 6 2







<u></u> 122

← 489

1_20

-1

Glen Ave SW

Site Driveway

46

↓ 5

Wade Hampton Dr SW


← 50


Wade Hampton Dr SW


26 50

19 📑

350 → 2 🛶









2020 TOTAL FUTURE CONDITIONS CAPACITY ANALYSIS

The capacity analyses for 2020 total future traffic volumes were based on the total future traffic volumes with existing lane uses and traffic controls at the study area intersections. Peak hour factors and heavy vehicle percentages were the same as those used in the background conditions analyses. The background traffic signal timings were unchanged for the total future conditions analyses.

The results of the intersection capacity analyses are summarized in *Table 10*. Results of the background 2020 conditions and existing 2018 conditions results are also shown for comparison. Analysis results show overall level of service and corresponding delay information for each movement, approach, and overall intersection. The Synchro analysis reports are contained in **Appendix E**.

The delay at the signalized intersections will increase under the total future conditions. It is noted, however, that all signalized intersections will operate at the same LOS when comparing background and total future conditions. This indicates that the traffic impacts on congestion and delay associated with the proposed development will be minimal, especially when compared to the impacts of the assumed regional growth and the pipeline developments.

The intersection of Nutley Street Southwest and Courthouse Road Southwest and the intersection of Maple Avenue West and Nutley Street Southwest will continue to operate at LOS E during the AM peak hour, which is below the intersection operation standard identified in the scoping document. However, during the AM peak hour, the traffic generated by the proposed development only adds 0.2 seconds of overall intersection delay to the former intersection and only adds 2.4 seconds of overall intersection delay to the latter intersection.

It is noted that, compared to background conditions, the same movements and approaches at signalized intersections will operate at LOS E or F during one or multiple peak hours. The only significant change will be the eastbound approach of Maple Avenue West which changes from LOS E to LOS F during the Saturday midday peak period.

Generally, most movements and approaches will also experience increased vehicle delays due to the increased amount of traffic that is forecasted in the area. Exceptions to this occur where optimized signal timings were used to reallocate the amount of green time to better serve congested approaches.

It is also noted that there will be multiple minor street movements and approaches that operate at LOS E or F at unsignalized intersections, particularly those along Maple Avenue West. Due the heavy east-west traffic flows along Maple Avenue West during the peak hours, additional delays are experienced for vehicles turning from the minor street. It is noted that these delays are not uncommon or unexpected for unsignalized approaches to congested corridors.

Under total future conditions, a significant delay is anticipated at the northbound approach of Wade Hampton Drive Southwest at Maple Avenue West. Traffic exiting the proposed site is anticipated to experience delays accessing Maple Avenue (particularly for those vehicles that are turning left).

It is anticipated that motorists will find a balance between waiting out the delays at the northbound approach and the extra travel time required to identify and use an alternate route.

Realistically, there are not many mitigation options to reduce the delay at the minor street approach; the traffic volumes do not warrant a signal (which is also precluded by intersection spacing along Maple Avenue West) and the east-west travel traffic patterns along Maple Avenue are dependent on efficient intersection to intersection progression, which limits the number of gaps available for left turn movements

from the minor streets along Maple Avenue West. However, to mitigate the impacts at the intersection of Wade Hampton Southwest at Maple Avenue West, the project will change the northbound approach from a single, left-through-right lane to one left-through lane and one right-turn only lane. This improvement provides a significant benefit for the approach by allowing right-turning vehicles their own lane so that they are not blocked by left-turning or through vehicles which experience heavier delay. This also allows vehicles that would normally turn left onto Maple Avenue West the option to easily turn right if they determine that the delay for the left-turn movement is long than they would prefer to wait.

Should the Town determine that the existing or forecasted delays at this intersection are too large, potential mitigation options may include restricting certain turning movements during the peak hours or installing "do not block the intersection" signage and/or pavement markings to facilitate the creation of gaps in traffic during congested conditions.

		Table 10: Su	ummary of 2	020 Total F	uture Capac	ity Analysis	Results			
			Delay, Secon	ds per Vehi	cle (Level of	Service)				
Intersection		Ex	isting (2018)		Вас	kground (20)20)	Total Future (2020)		
Approach	Mvmnt	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT
	1.	Nutley Stree	t Southwest	and Courth	nouse Road	Southwest ((signalized)			
Northbound (Nutley	L	26.1 (C)	17.7 (B)	14.3 (B)	23.0 (C)	16.7 (B)	14.2 (B)	23.2 (C)	16.7 (B)	14.3 (B)
Street Southwest)	Т	40.9 (D)	22.5 (C)	20.2 (C)	36.9 (D)	24.6 (C)	1.5 (A)	37.4 (D)	24.9 (C)	1.6 (A)
	R	41.9 (D)	23.1 (C)	21.0 (C)	37.2 (D)	24.7 (C)	1.5 (A)	37.7 (D)	25.0 (C)	1.6 (A)
	Overall	40.3 (D)	21.8 (C)	20.0 (C)	36.1 (D)	23.2 (C)	2.6 (A)	36.5 (D)	23.4 (C)	2.7 (A)
Southbound (Nutley	L	30.7 (C)	20.5 (C)	16.1 (B)	27.0 (C)	21.7 (C)	15.3 (B)	27.3 (C)	21.8 (C)	15.4 (B)
Street Southwest)	Т	33.8 (C)	25.3 (C)	18.4 (B)	23.3 (C)	2.4 (A)	0.9 (A)	23.6 (C)	2.4 (A)	0.9 (A)
	R	33.9 (C)	25.6 (C)	18.5 (B)	23.2 (C)	2.4 (A)	0.9 (A)	23.5 (C)	2.4 (A)	0.9 (A)
	Overall	33.7 (C)	25.4 (C)	18.3 (B)	23.4 (C)	2.8 (A)	1.7 (A)	23.7 (C)	2.8 (A)	1.7 (A)
Eastbound	L	43.1 (D)	53.5 (D)	47.4 (D)	53.8 (D)	76.5 (E)	63.1 (E)	53.8 (D)	76.5 (E)	63.1 (E)
(Courthouse Road	Т	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Southwest)	R	65.2 (E)	55.8 (E)	49.7 (D)	155.4 (F)	92.4 (F)	69.5 (E)	155.4 (F)	92.4 (F)	69.5 (E)
	Overall	56.1 (E)	54.6 (D)	48.6 (D)	113.6 (F)	84.3 (F)	66.3 (E)	113.6 (F)	84.3 (F)	66.3 (E)
Westbound	L	63.1 (E)	49.7 (D)	48.3 (D)	73.8 (E)	72.6 (E)	69.7 (E)	74.3 (E)	73.1 (E)	70.0 (E)
(Courthouse Road	Т	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Southwest)	R	56.5 (E)	52.5 (D)	43.9 (D)	56.4 (E)	86.9 (F)	57.0 (E)	56.1 (E)	86.9 (F)	56.7 (E)
	Overall	61.2 (E)	51.1 (D)	46.6 (D)	68.7 (E)	80.5 (F)	64.9 (E)	69.1 (E)	80.7 (F)	65.0 (E)
Overall Intersection		45.3 (D)	31.4 (C)	27.8 (C)	56.4 (E)	34.3 (C)	20.1 (C)	56.6 (E)	34.4 (C)	20.1 (C)
		2. Nutley S	treet South	west and M	aple Avenue	e West (sign	alized)			
Northbound (Nutley	L	62.0 (E)	73.1 (E)	53.7 (D)	72.6 (E)	116.0 (F)	57.4 (E)	72.8 (E)	116.0 (F)	57.4 (E)
Street Southwest)	Т	54.9 (D)	75.2 (E)	56.6 (E)	56.0 (E)	111.9 (F)	59.6 (E)	56.3 (E)	112.0 (F)	59.7 (E)
	R	37.7 (D)	38.0 (D)	32.5 (C)	20.2 (C)	51.1 (D)	5.4 (A)	20.4 (C)	48.3 (D)	4.7 (A)
	Overall	49.1 (D)	58.9 (E)	41.7 (D)	45.7 (D)	88.4 (F)	29.1 (C)	45.9 (D)	88.8 (F)	28.5 (C)
Southbound (Nutley	L	47.9 (D)	59.1 (E)	52.7 (D)	51.3 (D)	62.5 (E)	56.6 (E)	51.4 (D)	62.8 (E)	56.9 (E)
Street Southwest)	Т	73.4 (E)	74.1 (E)	57.9 (E)	99.6 (F)	89.8 (F)	65.7 (E)	99.6 (F)	89.8 (F)	65.7 (E)
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	68.4 (E)	70.6 (E)	56.4 (E)	87.6 (F)	82.2 (F)	62.5 (E)	87.5 (F)	82.0 (F)	62.5 (E)
Eastbound (Maple	L	23.7 (C)	27.5 (C)	22.0 (C)	30.6 (C)	35.5 (D)	30.8 (C)	31.1 (C)	35.8 (D)	31.5 (C)
Avenue West)	Т	48.3 (D)	36.2 (D)	40.1 (D)	84.5 (F)	43.3 (D)	79.7 (E)	91.5 (F)	43.8 (D)	91.3 (F)
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	47.8 (D)	35.9 (D)	39.4 (D)	83.2 (F)	43.0 (D)	77.4 (E)	90.1 (F)	43.5 (D)	88.5 (F)

			ummary of 2				Results			
Intersection			Delay, Secon iisting (2018)			kground (20	120)	Tot	al Future (20	120)
Approach	Mvmnt	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT
Westbound (Maple	L	39.2 (D)	23.0 (C)	26.7 (C)	89.5 (F)	45.2 (D)	64.2 (E)	90.0 (F)	49.1 (D)	62.2 (E)
Avenue West)	Т	29.4 (C)	35.0 (C)	24.0 (C)	27.2 (C)	23.3 (C)	14.4 (B)	27.6 (C)	24.3 (C)	14.4 (B)
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	31.4 (C)	32.9 (C)	24.7 (C)	40.3 (D)	27.2 (C)	27.6 (C)	41.0 (D)	28.7 (C)	27.1 (C)
Overall Intersection		46.7 (D)	43.3 (D)	36.2 (D)	62.8 (E)	50.5 (D)	47.3 (D)	65.2 (E)	50.9 (D)	50.6 (D)
	3.	Wade Hamp	ton Drive/Le	wis Street N	and Maple	Avenue (u	nsignalized)			
Northbound (Wade Hampton	L	50.5 (F)	34.8 (D)	15.9 (C)	99.3 (F)	20.6 (C)	40.4 (E)	314.2 (F)	83.4 (F)	483.6 (F)
Drive/Lewis St North)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
North	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	12.6 (B)	10.5 (B)	13.2 (B)
	Overall	50.5 (F)	34.8 (D)	15.9 (C)	99.3 (F)	20.6 (C)	40.4 (E)	168.8 (F)	31.9 (D)	172.4 (F)
Southbound (Wade	L	13.2 (B)	42.9 (E)	21.1 (C)	17.2 (C)	22.9 (C)	44.2 (E)	17.8 (C)	29.1 (D)	49.5 (E)
Hampton Drive/Lewis St	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
North)	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	13.2 (B)	42.9 (E)	21.1 (C)	17.2 (C)	22.9 (C)	44.2 (E)	17.8 (C)	29.1 (D)	49.5 (E)
Eastbound (Maple	L	9.4 (A)	15.0 (C)	10.8 (B)	8.2 (A)	11.1 (B)	8.9 (A)	8.2 (A)	11.1 (B)	8.9 (A)
Avenue West)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	0.6 (A)	1.3 (A)	0.5 (A)	0.5 (A)	0.9 (A)	0.4 (A)	0.5 (A)	0.9 (A)	0.4 (A)
Westbound (Maple	L	9.5 (A)	8.5 (A)	9.6 (A)	9.7 (A)	8.5 (A)	10.1 (B)	9.7 (A)	8.5 (A)	10.2 (B)
Avenue West)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	0.1 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.2 (B)	0.1 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.2 (B)	0.1 (A)	0.1 (A)	0.3 (B)
Overall Intersection		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		4. Pleasan	t Street Nor	thwest and	Maple Aver	nue (unsigna	alized)			
Northbound (Pleasant Street	L	588.1 (F)	39.7 (E)	75.0 (F)	26.9 (D)	22.6 (C)	328.6 (F)	18.6 (C)	24.8 (C)	372.8 (F)
Northwest)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	588.1 (F)	39.7 (E)	75.0 (F)	26.9 (D)	22.6 (C)	328.6 (F)	18.6 (C)	24.8 (C)	372.8 (F)
Southbound	L	63.7 (F)	17.1 (C)	30.9 (D)	20.4 (C)	16.3 (C)	56.4 (F)	14.2 (C)	16.4 (C)	61.0 (F)
(Pleasant Street Northwest)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	63.7 (F)	17.1 (C)	30.9 (D)	20.4 (C)	16.3 (C)	56.4 (F)	14.2 (C)	16.4 (C)	61.0 (F)
Eastbound (Maple	L	8.9 (A)	10.1 (B)	8.8 (A)	8.7 (A)	10.6 (B)	9.1 (A)	8.3 (A)	10.6 (B)	9.1 (A)
Avenue W)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

			ummary of 2				Results			
Intersection			Delay, Secon disting (2018)			ckground (20	120)	Tot	al Future (20	20)
Approach	Mvmnt	AM L	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT
прргосси	Overall	1.0 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.9 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.3 (A)
Westbound (Maple	L	12.0 (B)	10.0 (A)	11.4 (B)	9.1 (A)	8.4 (A)	9.5 (A)	9.1 (A)	8.4 (A)	9.5 (A)
Avenue W)	T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.5 (7.1)
		<u> </u>	-							
	R									-
	Overall	0.2 (A)	0.1 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.2 (A)	0.1 (A)	0.2 (A)	0.2 (A)	0.1 (A)	0.2 (A)
Overall Intersection		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		5. Glen	Avenue SW a	ind Courtho	use Road S	W (unsignal	ized)			
Northbound (Glen	L	17.7 (C)	12.7 (B)	14.9 (B)	17.7 (C)	12.8 (B)	15.2 (C)	17.8 (C)	12.9 (B)	15.4 (C)
Avenue SW)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	17.7 (C)	12.7 (B)	14.9 (B)	17.7 (C)	12.8 (B)	15.2 (C)	17.8 (C)	12.9 (B)	15.4 (C)
Southbound (Glen	L	14.2 (B)	15.6 (C)	15.8 (C)	14.2 (B)	15.8 (C)	16.2 (C)	14.3 (B)	16.0 (C)	16.5 (C)
Avenue SW)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	14.2 (B)	15.6 (C)	15.8 (C)	14.2 (B)	15.8 (C)	16.2 (C)	14.3 (B)	16.0 (C)	16.5 (C)
Eastbound	L	7.7 (A)	8.4 (A)	8.0 (A)	7.7 (A)	8.5(A)	8.1 (A)	7.7 (A)	8.5 (A)	8.1 (A)
(Courthouse Road	Т	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)
SW)	R		-		-	_	-	-	-	-
	Overall	0.1 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.1 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.2 (A)	0.4 (A)	0.4 (A)
Westbound	L	8.5 (A)	8.0 (A)	8.1 (A)	8.5 (A)	8.0 (A)	8.1 (A)	8.5 (A)	8.0 (A)	8.0 (A)
(Courthouse Road	T	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)
SW)		0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A) 	0.0 (A) -	0.0 (A) -	0.0 (A) -	- 0.0 (A)	0.0 (A) -	
	R									/
	Overall	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)
Overall Intersection		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	6. Wa	de Hampton	Drive SW an	d Glen Aver	nue SW/ Mil	lwood Cour	t (unsignali	ized)		
Northbound (Wade Hampton Drive SW)	L	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)	7.2 (A)
Trampton Drive Swy	Т	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	0.3 (A)	0.2 (A)	0.5 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.2 (A)	0.5 (A)	0.3 (A)	0.2 (A)	0.5 (A)
Southbound (Wade	L	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)	7.3 (A)
Hampton Drive SW)	Т	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overall	2.8 (A)	2.8 (A)	4.5 (A)	2.8 (A)	2.8 (A)	4.5 (A)	2.8 (A)	2.8 (A)	4.5 (A)
Eastbound (Glen	L	8.8 (A)	9.3 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.8 (A)	9.3 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.8 (A)	9.3 (A)	8.6 (A)
Avenue	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SW/Millwood Court SW)	R	_			-	_	-	-		

		Table 10: Su	ummary of 2	020 Total F	uture Capac	ity Analysis	Results				
			Delay, Secon	ds per Vehi	cle (Level of	Service)					
Intersection		Ex	isting (2018)		Background (2020)			Total Future (2020)			
Approach	Mvmnt	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT	
	Overall	8.8 (A)	9.3 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.8 (A)	9.3 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.8 (A)	9.3 (A)	8.6 (A)	
Westbound (Glen Avenue SW/Millwood Court SW)	L	8.5 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.4 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.4 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)	
	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Overall	8.5 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.4 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.4 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)	
Overall Intersection		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
7. Wade Hampton Drive SW and Site DW (unsignalized)											
Northbound (Wade	L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hampton Drive SW)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Overall	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	0.0 (A)	
Southbound (Wade	L	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.3 (A)	7.4 (A)	7.3 (A)	
Hampton Drive SW)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Overall	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.5 (A)	4.9 (A)	3.7 (A)	
Westbound (Site	L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Driveway)	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	R	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.6 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)	
	Overall	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.6 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.6 (A)	
Overall Intersection		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	

2020 TOTAL FUTURE CONDITIONS QUEUING ANALYSIS

Synchro 95th percentile queue analyses were performed at study area intersections under total future conditions as shown in **Table 11**. A 95th percentile queue length is the queue length that only has a 5 percent likelihood of being exceeded during the peak hour. The values shown below are based on an assumed queuing of 25 feet per vehicle in the queue, which is standard for Synchro queueing analyses. The effective storage of turn lanes, equal to the full-width length plus half the taper length, is shown for comparison. Synchro Reports are included in Appendix F.

Under total future conditions, queuing at certain turning movements at the signalized intersections will exceed the available storage length under one or multiple peak periods. These include:

- NBL at Nutley Street Southwest and Courthouse Road Southwest during the PM peak hour (consistent with background conditions)
- EBTL at Nutley Street Southwest and Courthouse Road Southwest during the AM peak hour (consistent with background conditions)
- WBTR at Nutley Street Southwest and Courthouse Road Southwest during the AM, PM and Saturday midday peak hours (consistent with background conditions)
- NBL at Nutley Street Southwest and Maple Avenue West during the AM and PM peak hours (consistent with background conditions)

 WBL at Nutley Street Southwest and Maple Avenue West during the AM and Saturday Midday peak hours (longer than background conditions during the AM and consistent with background conditions during the PM)

Queuing at unsignalized intersections will generally be insignificant with the exception of a queue of approximate 3.5 cars at the minor street northbound approach to Maple Avenue West from Wade Hampton Drive Southwest during the AM peak hour, and a queue of 5 vehicles at the minor street northbound approach to Maple Avenue West from Pleasant Street Northwest during the Saturday peak hour.

The queuing for the through movements along Maple Avenue W continue to underscore the fact that it is a significant east-west corridor that supports peak direction commuter travel patterns. During the peak hours, this amount of queuing and congestion creates challenges for turning movements from minor street approaches. This is more pronounced in the total future conditions, specifically at the adjacent street to the propose site (Wade Hampton Drive Southwest). However, the maximum northbound queueing at this location represents approximately 3.5 vehicles waiting to turn onto Maple Avenue West. This is not anticipated to negative impact access and egress to the proposed site or to impact the local neighborhood intersections and streets.

Table	11: Summary	of 2020 To	otal Fut	ure 95	TH Perce	ntile Q	ueues	(Feet)			
Intersection				Existing	3	Ва	ckgrou	nd	Total Future		
			(2018)			(2020)			(2020)		
Approach	Movement	Storage	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT
1	. Nutley Street S	W and Cou	rthouse	Road S	N (signa	lized)					
Northbound (Nutley Street SW)	NBL	115	85	208	74	36	120	84	36	120	84
	NBTR	N/A	607	551	418	267	618	352	271	631	356
Southbound (Nutley Street SW)	SBL	45	38	20	38	13	13	26	13	13	26
	SBTR	N/A	330	287	252	188	385	273	193	386	275
Eastbound (Courthouse Road SW)	EBLT	225	386	224	205	347	218	199	347	218	199
	EBR	N/A	137	66	62	353	59	50	359	59	50
Westbound (Courthouse Road SW)	WBL	N/A	305	322	282	278	312	276	283	316	280
	WBTR	70	104	384	166	94	378	161	93	379	160
	2. Nutley Stree	t SW and M	aple Av	enue W	(signaliz	ed)					
Northbound (Nutley Street SW)	NBL	220	314	353	162	384	448	142	384	448	142
	NBLT	n/a	280	382	209	237	451	158	237	451	158
	NBR	n/a	178	112	153	87	233	10	91	248	10
Southbound (Nutley Street SW)	SBL	200	111	116	104	152	152	146	153	159	151
	SBTR	n/a	444	333	215	502	384	234	502	384	234
Eastbound (Maple Avenue W)	EBL	90	25	31	39	32	39	54	32	39	54
	EBTR	N/A	632	355	590	722	424	783	726	433	793
Westbound (Maple Avenue W)	WBL	285	185	219	272	272	259	445	287	281	459
	WBTR	n/a	283	712	365	322	724	391	335	763	400
3. Wade	Hampton Drive	Lewis Stree	t N and	Maple A	Avenue (unsigna	lized)				
Northbound (Wade Hampton	NBLTR	N/A	20	23	8	35	13	23	-	-	-
Drive/Lewis St North)	NBL	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	83	25	75

Table	11: Summary	of 2020 To	tal Fut	ure 95 ¹	TH Perce	entile Q	ueues	(Feet)			
Intersection			Existing			Background			Total Future		
			(2018)			(2020)			(2020)		
Approach	Movement	Storage	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT	AM	PM	SAT
	NBR	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	8
Southbound (Wade Hampton Drive/Lewis St North)	SBLTR	N/A	13	30	13	18	15	28	20	20	30
Eastbound (Maple Avenue W)	EBL	120	8	20	8	5	13	5	5	13	5
	EBTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westbound (Maple Avenue W)	WBL	95	0	0	3	0	0	3	3	3	3
	WBTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Pleasant Street Northwest and Maple Avenue (unsignalized)											
Northbound (Pleasant Street Northwest)	NBLTR	N/A	143	30	55	20	15	118	13	18	123
Southbound (Pleasant Street Northwest)	SBLTR	N/A	35	5	23	10	5	43	5	5	45
Eastbound (Maple Avenue W)	EBL	55	13	3	3	10	3	3	3	3	3
	EBTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westbound (Maple Avenue W)	WBL	70	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
	WBTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Glen Avenue SW and Courthouse Road SW (unsignalized)											
Northbound (Glen Avenue SW)	NBLTR	N/A	3	5	8	3	3	3	3	8	3
Southbound (Glen Avenue SW)	SBLTR	N/A	5	3	8	5	3	5	5	8	5
Eastbound (Courthouse Road SW)	EBLTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Westbound (Courthouse Road SW)	WBLTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Wade Ham	pton Drive SW	and Glen Av	enue S\	N/ Millv	vood Co	urt (uns	ignalize	d)			
Northbound (Wade Hampton Drive SW)	NBLTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southbound (Wade Hampton Drive SW)	SBLTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eastbound (Glen Avenue SW/Millwood Court SW)	EBLTR	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westbound (Glen Avenue SW/Millwood Court SW)	WBLTR	N/A	0	3	3	0	3	3	0	3	3
7	. Wade Hampto	n Drive and	Site Dr	iveway (unsigna	lized)					
Northbound (Wade Hampton Drive)	NBTR	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	3	3
Southbound (Wade Hampton Drive)	SBLT	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	3
Westbound (Site Driveway)	WBLR	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	5	3

TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANGEMENT

The Town of Vienna encourages developers to consider strategies and measure to reduce the impacts of traffic on the site and the adjacent streets. Transportation demand management means the application of strategies and policies to reduce travel demand of single-occupancy private vehicles, or to redistribute this demand in space or in time. The Town suggests that applicants should consider developing a TDM plan that includes a minimum of three of the following transportation demand management activities:

- Establish a development-specific website that provides multi-modal transportation information such as real-time travel/traffic information, bus routes, bus schedules and maps and alternative commute log (bicycle, pedestrian, carpool, and vanpool).
- Disclose in writing to all employees transportation information and educational materials.
- Coordinate the formation of, but not limited to, carpooling, vanpooling, ridesharing, guaranteed ride home, teleworking, or shuttle service programs.
- Create a preferential parking management plan that specifically marks spaces for each registered carpool and/or vanpool vehicle and/or accommodates carshare designated-spaces located near building entrances or in other preferential locations.
- Institute and maintain off-peak work schedules, allowing employees to arrive and depart at times
 other than the peak commute period. The peak morning commuter period is defined as 7:00 AM
 to 9:00 AM, the peak mid-day commuter period is defined as 11:30 AM to 1:30 PM, and the peak
 evening commuter period is defined as 5:00 PM. and 7:00 PM.
- Establish an office location within the development, staffed by the transportation coordinator that makes transportation and ride-sharing information available to employees and residents.

While the applicant has not yet identified specific TDM strategies, they remain committed to working with the Town and the community to reduce traffic into the residential neighborhoods. This has factored into the building design which facilitates easy access to ride sharing companies and delivery trucks. The applicant will also discuss feasibility of installing equipment that displays NextBus information with the Town Department of Public Works.

PARKING DEMAND

The proposed site will include 125 parking spaces divided among above- and below-grade garage parking to accommodate the employees, visitors, patrons, and residents.

Article 16 of the Town Code of Vienna specifies the following off-street parking requirements for the proposed uses:

- Multiple Family, two or more Bedrooms: 2 spaces/dwelling unit
- Commercial Building: 1 space/200 SF of floor area

Based on the parking ratios and the actual dwelling units/square footage of the current development (40 units and 7,500 SF of retail), the proposed development is *required* to have 80 parking spaces to satisfy the residential component (assuming only two-bedroom units), and 38 parking spaces to satisfy the retail component for a total of 118 parking spaces. The site will contain a surplus of 7 spaces.

Based on the principles of shared parking, it is recognized that different uses in a mixed-use site have unique peak parking trends. As such the peak demand for residential parking may not overlap with the peak demand for retail parking.

Should the applicant seek to reduce the number of parking spaces, a shared parking analysis and parking demand study would be provided as justification.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the intersection capacity analyses that were conducted, it is concluded that the proposed development will result in minimal traffic impacts to the area transportation network and that parking will be provided in accordance with the Town's Zoning Ordinance.

Under existing conditions, all signalized study intersections operate at or better than overall intersection LOS D during the AM, PM, and Saturday midday peak hours.

Under background conditions, both the intersection of Nutley Street Southwest and Courthouse Road Southwest and the intersection of Maple Avenue West and Nutley Street Southwest will operate at LOS E during the AM peak hour, which is below the intersection operation standard identified in the scoping document.

These results suggest that the background traffic associated with regional growth and pipeline developments impact signalized intersection operations.

The delays at the signalized intersections also increase under the total future conditions, i.e. with the proposed development in place. It is noted, however, that all signalized intersections operate at the same LOS when compared to background conditions. This confirms that the traffic impacts on congestion and delay associated with the proposed development will be minimal, specifically when compared to the traffic impacts of the regional growth and the traffic generated by pipeline developments.

The intersection of Nutley Street Southwest and Courthouse Road Southwest and the intersection of Maple Avenue West and Nutley Street Southwest continue to operate at LOS E during the AM peak hour; this is below the intersection operation standard identified in the scoping document. It is noted; however, that the proposed development only adds 0.2 seconds of overall intersection delay to the Nutley Street Southwest and Courthouse Road Southwest intersection and only 2.4 seconds of overall intersection delay to Maple Avenue West and Nutley Street Southwest intersection during the AM peak hour.

Under total future conditions, significant delays are anticipated at the northbound approach of Wade Hampton Drive Southwest at Maple Avenue West. Traffic exiting the proposed site is anticipated to experience delays accessing Maple Avenue (particularly for those vehicles that are turning left). Due the heavy east-west traffic flows along Maple Avenue West during the peak hours, additional delays are experienced for vehicles turning from the minor streets along Maple Avenue West. It is noted that these delays are not uncommon or unexpected for unsignalized approaches to congested corridors. It is anticipated that motorists will find a balance between waiting out the delays at the northbound approach of Wade Hampton Drive Southwest and the extra travel time required to use an alternate route.

To mitigate the impacts at the intersection of Wade Hampton Southwest at Maple Avenue West, the project will change the northbound approach from a single, left-through-right lane to one left-through lane and one right-turn only lane. This improvement provides a significant benefit for the approach by allowing right-turning vehicles their own lane so that they are not blocked by left-turning or through vehicles which experience heavier delay. This also allows vehicles that would normally turn left onto Maple Avenue West the option to easily turn right if they determine that the delay for the left-turn movement is long than they would prefer to wait.

The maximum northbound queueing at this location is approximately 3.5 vehicles waiting to turn onto Maple Avenue West. This is not anticipated to negatively impact access and egress to the proposed site or to impact the local neighborhood intersections and streets.

Realistically, there are few mitigation options to reduce the delay at the minor street approach; the traffic volumes do not warrant a signal (which is also precluded by intersection spacing along Maple Avenue West) and the east-west travel pattern along Maple Avenue is dependent on efficient intersection to intersection progression, which limits the number of gaps available for left turn movements from the minor streets. The separation of the northbound approach into a left-through lane and right-turn lane at this location provides a considerable benefit.

Should the Town determine that the real or forecasted delays at this intersection are too much to bear, potential mitigation options may include restricting certain turning movements during the peak hours or installing "do not block the intersection" signage and/or pavement markings to facilitate the creation of gaps in traffic during congested conditions. The developer has also expressed a desire to work with the Town and the community to develop strategies to minimize the impact of traffic on residential streets. One such strategy is the proposed prohibition of left turns exiting the site, which will reduce the possibility of site traffic driving through neighborhood streets.