

| | Alma St SE | Birch St SW | Black-Stone | Branch Cir | Charles Cir | Charles St | CherryCir | Cir Dr | Elmar* | Elmar Dr ** | Oak St | Symphony | Timber Ln | Wood-View | Hillcrest Dr SW |
|---|--------------|--------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| What is number of owners polled | 23 | 22 | 14 | 11 | 6 | 16 | 8 | 6 | 13 | 29 | 28 | 13 | 18 | 6 | 62 |
| What is number of respondents | 12 | 16 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 18 | 20 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 46 |
| percentage of respondents to total number homes polled | 52.17% | 72.73% | 64.29% | 81.82% | 83.33% | 62.50% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 38.46% | 62.07% | 71.43% | 46.15% | 66.67% | 50.00% | 74.19% |
| Total "Yes" (both sides) | 7 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 13 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 15 |
| Total "No" (both sides) | 5 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 31 |
| What is Ratio of "Total Yes" (both sides yes) to "Total No" | 1.40 | 0.78 | 0.80 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 3.00 | 0.00 | N/A | 1.57 | 1.86 | 0.50 | 0.71 | 0.50 | 0.48 |
| Even side "Yes" | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 8 |
| Even side "No" | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 12 |
| Even Side Percent "Yes" to Total Even Side Respondants | 80.00% | 42.86% | 50.00% | 50.00% | 0.00% | 20.00% | 75.00% | 0.00% | 100.00% | 75.00% | 63.64% | 50.00% | 33.33% | 100.00% | 40.00% |
| Odd side "Yes" | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 7 |
| Odd side "No" | 4 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 19 |
| Odd side Percent "Yes" to Total Odd side Respondants | 42.86% | 44.44% | 33.33% | 20.00% | 0.00% | 60.00% | 75.00% | 0.00% | 66.67% | 50.00% | 66.67% | 25.00% | 50.00% | 0.00% | 26.92% |
| Does one side have more "Yes" than other side | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Do both sides have same number of "Yes" and "No" | No | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Odd or Even side has more "Yes" | Even | Odd | Even | Even | Tie | Odd | Tie | Tie | Tie | Even (W) | Even | Tie | Odd | Even | Even |
| Odd or Even side has more "No" | Odd | Odd | Even | Odd | Even | Even | Tie | Tie | Odd | Odd | Tie | Odd | Even | Odd | Odd |
| Preferred Side (1st Criteria most Yes, 2nd Criteria if same yes is least No's) | Even | Odd | Even | Both | N/A | Odd | Both | N/A | N/A | Even (W) | Odd*** | Both | Odd | Both | Even |
| Cul-De-Sac or Dead End Street | NO | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Recommendation to Cancel or Move Forward? | Forward | Forward | Forward | Forward | Cancel | Forward | Forward | Cancel | N/A* | Forward | Forward | Forward | Forward | Forward | Unsure |
| Citizen Meeting Group | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N/A | 2 | 2 | N/A | N/A | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | tbd |
| Total Polled | 275 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Responding & % Responding | 185 | 67.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total in Support of Sidewalk (Yes) | 82 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Of Those Responding- % "YES" | 44.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Not in Support of Sidewalk (No) | 103 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Of Those Responding- % "NO" | 55.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Questionnaires were sent to the 200 Block by mistake- There is already existing sidewalk | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ** North side of street will be considered as "even" addresses | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ***Main block Center St to Cottage has equal number "yes" on both sides. The "Odd" side has much older C&G that could be replaced where we have new driveways. Also there is development project in progress on odd side. In the Cottage to Birch block there is existing sidewalk that we could tie to. The rationale is that we can save money and improve the infrastructure by building on the odd side rather than the even. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TABLE REPRESENTS DATA RECEIVED AS OF January 4, 2021 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WHERE COMMENTS HAVE BEEN PROVIDED- BEST FIT DESCRIPTION OF RESPONDANT'S ANSWERS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Cul-de-Sac's don't need sidewalks</i> | 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Impact on front yard</i> | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Loss of Trees/impact on trees</i> | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Not a safety issue</i> | 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Light vehicular traffic</i> | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>A 5' Wide sidewalk is too wide</i> | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Sidewalk would ruin "quant-look" or character</i> | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Sidewalk will make owners responsible for snow removal</i> | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>"No" for sidewalk on my side of street but O.K. for opposite side</i> | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Long overdue, great addition, great for all ages, I worry about the children walking in the street, with so many walkers we should have a sidewalk</i> | 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BEST FIT TABLE REPRESENTS DATA RECEIVED AS OF January 4, 2021 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |