

Robinson Sidewalk Survey Questionnaire Responses- November and December 2020											DPW Reply Date
Number	Project Street	Address Information				Y/N	Name	e-Survey or Mail ?	Comment		
		Numb	Street	Suffi	Quad						
1	Alma	507	Alma	St	SE	Y	Hordur and Maria Karlsson	Mail-In	Which Side of street? Street Lights are needed. What about street lighting?		
2	Alma	604	Alma	St	SE	Y	Ann & Clement Chen	Mail-In	Thank goodness! Long overdue! We have a street of mixed ages- old and young! Thank you! Love sidewalks!		
3	Alma	501	Alma	St	SE	N	Kurt Wenk	e-Survey	<p>I'm not sure if this is the same Delano Dr proposed sidewalk (which I've submitted comments on previously), or if this is now for Alma St (we are on the corner of both). Either way, my comments are similar, which I've copy/pasted from before below. Thanks!</p> <p>1. While we have only lived here for 2.5 years, we feel the sidewalk is not needed and that the private donation resources can be better used for other sites. Delano Drive SE and Alma St are short streets without much traffic. Installation of a sidewalk on this short stretch of an otherwise quite street would in our opinion have minimal benefit. The sidewalks that are already present (and needed) on the busier adjacent streets already serve the stated purpose of enhancing safety and walkability.</p> <p>2. With the constant construction of new homes (including recently our own), trees and other greenery are often removed, giving the community an overall less green and arbor-mature look. The addition of a sidewalk would place more concrete in the community and likely contribute further to the barer look.</p> <p>3. If the town were to eventually proceed with the sidewalk on Delano Drive, we were curious as to how it would connect with the bike path in the park, and whether consideration would be given to ending the sidewalk at the junction of Delano and Alma. Past that point (and on toward our property) is a few feet of deadend, and we're not sure how useful it would be after that street juncture. We feel it would remove more green, add unwanted concrete, and not help with safety given the location.</p>		
4	Alma	610	Delano	Dr	SE	Y	Matt Sanders	e-Survey	Very supportive ((Alma St/Delano St corner))		
5	Birch	705	Birch	St	SW	Y	Joanne & Tom Burke	e-Survey	We welcome sidewalks! Wished we had them all the years that our kids were growing up to have a safe place to play and get to their friends' houses. We hope the project comes to fruition!		
6	Birch	510	Birch	St	SW	N	Glenn Griffin	e-Survey	Our street is not a thru street and so doesn't have a lot of traffic. We like that our neighbors feel safe letting their kids run, bike, skateboard, scooter etc down our street. Many of the kids have learned to bike on our street. We don't need a sidewalk.		
7	Birch	604	Birch	St	SW	N	Elizabeth Lemersal & Steve Sickels	e-Survey	our street is short and straight, is not a shortcut for auto traffic, and is fairly wide, so pedestrians and bicyclists are safe without sidewalks		
8	Birch	606	Birch	St	SW	N	Matt & Corinne Lindenfelser	e-Survey	Sidewalk(s) would ruin the quaint look of our street from both ends; our street has NO heavy through traffic & as a result, neighbors' children play safely. Additionally, people of all ages, including some in their mid to late 80's find it pleasant to walk and amble along it; in fact, many families from other streets safely stroll on it with their children (in strollers, trikes, bikes, etc.). Thank you.		
9	Branch Cir	307	Branch	Cir	SE	N	Donna Henshaw	Mail-In	Absolutely No. Waste of money for a quiet court with only 10 homes.		
10	Branch Cir	315	Branch	Cir	SE	N	Brian & Ann Christopher	Mail-In	Our small cul-de-sac would not benefit from a sidewalk, and a 5' loss of yard would decrease play area for our children.		
11	Blackstone	608	Blackstone	Ter	NW	Y	Kathy Bateman	e-Survey	If on my side of the street, I would only ask that the entrance to the driveway be kept wider than the driveway itself (as it is now), so that it is not too difficult to get in and out of it.		
12	Blackstone	603	Blackstone	Ter	NW	N	Anne Ross	e-Survey	A sidewalk on our side of Blackstone Terrace (603 etc) would eliminate ALL of Blackstone Terrace's large majestic street trees, negatively impacting the entire street. All of these street/shade trees are on this side. Replacing them with new trees that would take many years to become large, would not preserve the character and benefits provided by the mature existing trees. A new small tree is not a replacement for full grown trees that take many many years to reach maturity. If a sidewalk must be built, using the other side of the street (604 etc) would have less negative impact on the character of our street as a whole. Additionally, some of the homes on this side would lose a significant portion of their front yards and driveways forcing some residents to park their cars on the street. After many years of Vienna being a Tree City USA, I hope you will please consider the negative impact, particularly on these irreplaceable street trees.		
13	Blackstone	349	Lawyers	Rd	NW	N	George Choubah	e-Survey	I don't support this project because I feel that a 5-foot sidewalk is too wide and may lead to cutting existing trees. I would be supportive of a narrower sidewalk 3 feet or 4 feet max. A narrower sidewalk would still serve its purpose but will reduce the impact on the environment (smaller footprint) and keep with the character of the town. Thank you for asking for feedback. ((Blackstone Ter @ Lawyers intersection))		
14	Branch Cir	309	Branch	Cir	SE	N	Elizabeth Koetz	e-Survey	Don't think it's needed on a cul-de-sac street.		

Number	Project Street	Address Information				Y/N	Name	e-Survey or Mail ?	Comment	DPW Reply Date
		Numb	Street	Suffi	Quad					
15	Charles Cir	4	Charles	Cir	SW	N	Tim and Tina Wickham	Mail-In	Our cul-de-sac is so small, a sidewalk would do more harm than good. I do think sidewalks on Elmar and Desales would make sense. Our circle could use new pavement however.	
16	Charles Cir	2	Charles	Cir	SW	N	Kellie Owens	Mail-In	Since we live in a cul-de-sac I don't believe sidewalks are needed in the circle. Definitely needed on cross street- Desale, and possibly on Elmar Dr.	
17	Charles Cir	3	Charles	Cir	SW	N	Martin & Rosetta Virgilio	Mail-In	We are in small cul-de-sac- No sidewalk is needed.	
18	Charles Cir	6	Charles	Cir	SW	N	Shannon & Clark Schweers	Mail-In	We do not feel a sidewalk in the cul-de-sac is necessary.	
19	Charles St	307	Charles	St	SE	N	Todd Dunbar and Laura Waldschmidt	e-Survey	We are very much opposed to a sidewalk being added to Charles St. Many of our front yards are small and the addition of a sidewalk would take away a large portion of many of our yards. In addition there is a minimal number of walkers in our cul-de-sac so we don't feel a sidewalk would provide any benefit.	
20	Charles St	205	Charles	St	SE	Y	Cheryl Holmes, and Scott Holmes	e-Survey	We would love to have the sidewalk in front of our home extended around the street! Right now the sidewalk just ends into the grass and then people end up walking into the middle of street. In favor of having sidewalks on both sides of our street!	
21	Cherry Cir	119	Cherry	Cir	SW	N	Jim & Barbara Jackson	Mail-In	Cul-de-sac where sidewalk is not necessary.	
22	Cherry Cir	120	Cherry	Cir	SW	Y	Tim & Kathryn Koehl	Mail-In	This would be great addition to our street.	
23	Circle Dr	922	Circle	Dr	SE	N	Eugene T. Quinn	Mail-In	No sidewalks needed on Circle Dr.SE. Also No sidewalks needed on Harmony Dr SE.	
24	Circle Dr	925	Circle	Dr	SE	N	Chris & Soo Yeon Chung	Mail-In	Our street is cul-de-sac. Not many people come in to take a walk. We believe the funds can be better used where more pedestrians can enjoy.	
25	Circle Dr	923	Circle	Dr	SE	N	Ken Bailey	e-Survey	A sidewalk would be completely pointless on our street which is a cul de sac of 5 houses.	
26	Circle Dr	103	Harmony	Dr	SE	N	Julie Chapin	Mail in	There is already a sidewalk on one side. It is a court- they are safe. I have 150 year old tree and line of trees and plants that would be impacted. Absolutely not.	
27	Elmar	106	Elmar	Dr	SE	N	Kevin Polchow	Mail-In	Elmar is a quiet and short street. There is virtually no cut-through traffic, most traffic is local that drive slowly. From a safety standpoint, no sidewalks are needed. The money is better spent on other streets, please no sidewalk on Elmar!	
28	Elmar	205	Elmar	Dr	SE	Y	Firouzeh Firoozabadi	Mail-In	Please!	
29	Elmar	202	Elmar	Dr	SE	Y	Sara L. Kane	Mail in	There are sidewalks in our 200 block, but need repair in several places.	
30	Elmar	218	Elmar	Dr	SE	Y	James Burggraff	e-Survey	The asphalt sidewalk on the east side of Park St SE is in bad shape and should be replaced with concrete. This is probably the most heavily used sidewalk in SE.	
31	Oak St	107	Oak	St	SW	N	David & Beth Dargatis	Mail-In	If approved, south side of street only. The north side already includes and bears the burdens of utility lines and poles, fire hydrants, and other infrastructure seemingly not a prudent use of tax dollars especially during tight budgetary times.	
32	Oak St	109	Oak	St	SW	N	Dale Griffiths	Mail-In	TOV, I believe a more informative way to inquire to ask, "Do you favor (support) a sidewalk on your street, and (if so) do you support it being on your side of the street?" My answer would still be "No".	
33	Oak St	119	Oak	St	SW	Y	David Nicholson & Elizabeth MacGregor	Mail-In	Yes!!!	
34	Oak St	102	Oak	St	SW	Y	Gertrude Savia	Mail-In	It would disturb less property if it was on my side of the street.	
35	Oak St	702	Cottage	St	SW	Y	Margaret D. Connelly	Mail in	Yes, definitely. With so many walkers these days we should have a place for them to walk. It's the children walking in the street (especially going to school) that worries me the most.	
36	Oak St	104	Oak	St	SW	Y	Sandra & Jeff Frederick	Mail in	Yes, but only one sidewalk on the "flat" side of Oak St. Do not favor retaining walls for the uphill side. Yes for north side.	
37	Oak St	123	Oak	St	SW	Y	Gino and Paula Ruta	e-Survey	We think it would be a lot safer for people walking down Oak Street if there were sidewalks. Thank you.	
38	Oak St	115	Oak	St	SW	Y	WILLIAM & PAMELA WHELAN	e-Survey	We enthusiastically support having sidewalks on Oak Street!!!!	

Number	Project Street	Address Information				Y/N	Name	e-Survey or Mail ?	Comment	DPW Reply Date
		Numb	Street	Suffi	Quad					
39	Symphony Cir	915	Symphony	Cir	SW	N	Dale Franklin	Mail-In	No!!!	
40	Symphony Cir	907	Symphony	Cir	SW	N	Huy Le	e-Survey	I'm really against this on symphony since 5 feet of sidewalk will impact the front yards of the properties in a cul de sac configuration.	
41	Symphony Cir	908	Symphony	Cir	SW	N	Michael Kalutkiewicz	e-Survey	The grant from the Robinson estate is a wonderful opportunity to install sidewalks on busy roads to ensure community safety. The new sidewalks on Nutley and Cottage were desperately needed. In terms of the program's overall goals of promoting safety and connecting individuals with their community, we feel quite safe and connected on our cul-de-sac already. Due to its nature as a dead end circle, there is little foot traffic beyond that of the residents. The infrequent traffic has been slowed to 15mph due to a new town sign installed. It is our feeling that the funds from the Robinson estate would be best spent on a street that better meets the states goals of the program.	
42	Timber	906	Timber	LN	SW	Y	Marcus & Kimberely Maher	Mail-In	We would love a sidewalk	
43	Timber	907	Timber	LN	SW	N	Don Mielke	Mail-In	Please spend the funds putting sidewalks to make Vienna a more walkable town- like a wide sidewalk on Cottage. One all the way to the WO & D Trail on Tapawingo- DeSale St between...	
44	Timber	905	Timber	LN	SW	Y	Ronald Bushar	e-Survey	I wholeheartedly support this effort. Our street is in desperate need of one. Happy to have it on our side of the street.	
45	Timber	912	Timber	LN	SW	N	Vy & Jaclyn Truong	e-Survey	The proposed 5' sidewalk is exceedingly wide and would encroach too much on our small front yard.	
46	Woodview Cir	127	Woodview	Cir	SW	N	Matt Haas	Mail-In	What happened to your recent recommendations to council?	
47	Hillcrest	504	Hillcrest	Dr	SW	Y	Sam & Leah Moser	Mail-In	Mainly on Hillcrest Dr- not in our cul-de-sac, but the cut through street.	
48	Hillcrest	915	Hillcrest	Dr	SW	N	Preston & Loretta Junkin	Mail-In	A few reasons: We have lost a lot of trees already due to construction. Replacing a 60 year old tree with a new sapling will not make-up for the loss. Second, our street has little traffic, as Cottage is used for cut-throughs.Third, we have endured construction noise for years- don't add to it!	
49	Hillcrest	910	Hillcrest	Dr	SW	N	James A Ashe, PE	Mail-In	I have a steep slope on my front yard. An ADA compliant sidewalk would cut into the hill. A retaining wall would be needed. The wall cut would be a hazard that requires a fence or barrier. I find this situation unacceptable.	
50	Hillcrest	917	Hillcrest	Dr	SW	N	Avril Garland & Robert Dresdner	Mail-In	I will stand in front of the bulldozers. TOV is not taking down my trees and destroying my lovely bed of day lilies. More concrete will add to our storm water runoff.	
51	Hillcrest	810	Hillcrest	Dr	SW	N	Nancy Walker	Mail-In	I don't know why I'm bothering to respond to this, because you'll do exactly as you please. I've already lived through the stress of a sidewalk project in front of our property on Tapawingo- a much more necessary project than the one on quiet Hillcrest. The project on Hillcrest would severely impact two mature maple trees and a 50- foot native holly. What are trees worth?	
52	Hillcrest	643	Hillcrest	Dr	SW	N	Ed Wenzel	Mail-In	there is little tytraffic on Hillcrest. No need to waste resources here.	
53	Hillcrest	606	Hillcrest	Dr	SW	N	Deborah Vaughn	Mail-In	Our Street is wide, we believe it is safer to walk in the street because low tree limbs encroach into sidewalks, existing sidewalks need edging, cars parked in driveways often block the sidewalk, many properties have water from yards and sump pumps drain top sidewalk which can freeze. A five foot walk is too wide and would take away most of some yards.	
54	Hillcrest	701	Hillcrest	Dr	SW	N	Ken & Jen Caputo	Mail in	We lived on Hillcrest since 2001- our kids have grown up here and we have watched many other families grow. All have enjoyed the character of the street which we would like to retain. Please consider using the funds to pave the street instead.	
55	Hillcrest	400	Ridge	Rd	SW	N	Dan Keating	Mail in	Please do not build any sidewalks on the north end of Hillcrest. Whomever thought they might be needed is incorrect, respectfully. Sidewalks here are a solution in search of a problem. I've lived here 6 years, we walk our dog every day. We've never felt unsafe with traffic, which is minimal. Please use the funds on roads.	
56	Hillcrest	600	Hillcrest	Dr	SW	N	Duane Merrill and Anne Marie Kelly	Mail in	Paraphrased Comments-Adding sidewalk not best use of town resources. Street is wider than most- enough space for vehicles, pedestrians, bicycles, etc. We are totally opposed to a 5-ft wide sidewalk. All other sidewalks appear to be 4 ft. Rather than annex our property, tear up lawns, driveways trees, and move utility poles suggest an in line bicycle pedestrian path on one side of Hillcrest. We suggest Town post "No Parking" sign on that side of street. If you must build sidewalks, build inside of the existing street. Loosing 5 feet of the street will make the street still wider than most. Unrelated, can you send a return envelope with the sewer/water bill?	
57	Hillcrest	304	Meadow	Ln	SW	N	Robert & Mary Lou McIntire	Mail in	Not at all in favor. Hillcrest is a wide street with little traffic. Most park in driveways- leaving room to walk on street. Hillcrest would loose many trees and bushes. We've liver here 48 years, and lost 3 healthy trees due to rear-neighbor's home construction. If sidewalk is built on east side (odd side of Hillcrest) we would loose 3 large crepe myrtle and large magnolia which blooms profusely in summer.	
58	Hillcrest	913	Hillcrest	Dr	SW	N	Andrew Romero	Mail in	No, but yes if on opposite side off street.	
59	Hillcrest	919	Hillcrest	Dr	SW	N	Bryce Harlow	e-Survey	Our strong preference would be to not have a sidewalk added to our street. Thanks for soliciting comment.	
60	Hillcrest	630	Hillcrest	Dr	SW	Y	NIKUL PATEL	e-Survey	Sidewalk would a great addition to this street!	
61	Hillcrest	811	Hillcrest	Dr	SW	N	Deborah K Brandt	e-Survey	What is the primary reason our street was targeted to receive a new sidewalk? The elementary school for our street is Vienna Elementary and it is 1.1 miles away -- too far away for a safe walk to or from school. Perhaps its 0.6 mile proximity to Meadow Land Park? It would be helpful to understand the underlying rational.1. Our street is not very busy. Currently underway are two new constructions resulting from a couple of tear down houses, so construction traffic is a short-term change. People do not appear to have any difficulties walking up and down Hillcrest near the curb by themselves or with family, friends, and pets. 2. In addition, with new sidewalks come additional responsibilities for snow removal. As a retired couple that burden is a financial one, as we would have to pay someone to remove the snow. Again, for a street with light traffic, it does not make sense to need to spend money to remove snow from a sidewalk on that street. 3. Our front yard is landscaped to include a large curved garden and has a very old healthy tree in the corner on Hillcrest. Disturbing them increases the likelihood we would lose property value. Lower property value means lower taxes and revenue to the Town of Vienna.	

Number	Project Street	Address Information				Y/N	Name	e-Survey or Mail ?	Comment	DPW Reply Date
		Numb	Street	Suffi	Quad					
62	Hillcrest	904	Hillcrest	Dr	SW	N	David & Kathryn Brown	e-Survey	At least not on our side of the street	
63	Hillcrest	627	Hillcrest	Dr	SW	Y	Karli Nickerson	e-Survey	I will happily go door-to-door to get my neighbors' approval. Let me know how I can help get support for this initiative.	
64	Hillcrest	705	Hillcrest	Dr	SW	Y	Quynh Han	e-Survey	We strongly urge the ToV to add a sidewalk to Hillcrest Dr SW. We have a lot of children and pedestrians on this beautiful street. Thank you!	
65	Hillcrest	600	Hillcrest	Dr	SW	N	Duane Jr. Merrill	e-Survey	<p>Both my wife and I opinion that adding a sidewalk to Hillcrest Drive is neither necessary or the best use of the Town's resources. The street is one of the wider ones in Vienna and there is plenty of street-space to safely accommodate all traffic – auto, pedestrian, bicycles, etc. – on both sides. We vote NO. And we are totally opposed to a 5-foot-wide sidewalk. All the other Vienna sidewalks appear serviceable at 4-feet. Why are you making an exception on this street?</p> <p>Rather than annex our property, tear up our lawns, driveways and trees, and relocate power/telephone lines on more of our properties, we would suggest that a more cost-effect Town investment would be to line-in a bicycle/pedestrian path on one side of Hillcrest. It would clearly delineate the space from auto traffic and give pedestrians and bicyclists a safe "path". We would also suggest that you post "no parking" signs on that side of the street so that parked cars would not block it. At a minimum, if you absolutely must puy in a sidewalk on Hillcrest, annex it from the existing street width instead of our properties. Even losing 4-5 feet of street, will still make it wider than most of the other surrounding Vienna streets.</p> <p>And just an off-the cuff question. Why can you send a return envelope with this survey, but you can't include a return addressed envelope with the sewer/water bill? Certainly, with last year's usage increase, you can include an addressed return envelope with the bill. Yes?</p>	